GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

DECEMBER 2, 2002

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing convened in Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001, pursuant to notice, at 6:30 p.m., Carol J. Mitten, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN
ANTHONY J. HOOD
PETER G. MAY
JOHN G. PARSONS

Chairperson
Vice Chairperson
Commissioner
Commissioner

ZONING COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT:

ALBERTO BASTIDA SHARON SANCHEZ

Secretary
Office of Zoning

OTHER AGENCY STAFF PRESENT:

JOHN FONDERSMITH ELLEN McCARTHY Office of Planning
Office of Planning

D.C. OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL:

ALAN BERGSTEIN, ESQ.

NEAL R. GROSS

I-N-D-E-X

Preliminary Matters 6
Presentation by Jerry Moore, Esq., Arter & Hadden
Report of Office of Planning: John Fondersmith
ANC-2A, Elizabeth Elliott
Anthony Rizzuto 113 Eva Neterowicz 116 Donald V. Lincoln 118 David Lehrman 119 Dana T. Bedden 124 Roma Nedeff 128 Laetitia Combrinck 129 Vivien Kilner 135 Rosemary Jarvis 137 Mildred Kriegel 138
Persons in Support: Barbara Spillinger
Closing Remarks Jerry Moore, Esq., Arter & Hadden 148
Commission Scheduling

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2

1

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

(6:32 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Good evening, ladies

and gentlemen.

This is a public hearing of the Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia for Monday, December 2, 2002.

My name is Carol Mitten, and joining me this evening are Vice Chairman Anthony Hood and Commissioner John Parsons. Commissioner Peter May is going to be out in just a few moments.

The subject of this evening's hearing is Zoning Commission Case Number 02-26. This request by the George Washington University for a special exception review and approval, pursuant to Sections 210 and 3104 of the Zoning Regulations, 11 DCMR, to amend the Board of Zoning Adjustment's March 31, 1998 Order Number 16276.

More specifically, the university requests that condition 1 of Order 16276 be deleted with respect to the classes of users of the Lerner Health and Wellness Center, which is located at 2301 G Street, N.W., and that condition number 2 of the Order be amended to permit the facility to remain open until 1:00 a.m. on all days except Saturday.

Notice of today's hearing was published in the D.C. Register on August 30, 2002, and in The Washington Times on October 15, 2002.

This will be hearing conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 **DCMR** Section 3117, which are the BZAprocedures for hearings which we use in campus plan cases.

Copies of the hearing announcement are available to you and are located on the table near the door.

The order of procedure will be as follows: preliminary matters, followed by the applicant's case, the report by the Office of Planning, reports of other government agencies, report of the Advisory Neighborhood Commission -- in this case it's ANC 2A -- parties and persons in support, parties and persons in opposition, and, finally, rebuttal by the applicant.

The following time constraints will be maintained in this hearing. The applicant has requested 45 minutes. You will have 20 minutes. Parties have 15 minutes. Organizations will have five minutes. Individuals will have three minutes.

The Commission intends to maintain these time limits as strictly as possible in order to hear the case in a reasonable period of time. The

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Commission reserves the right to change the time limits for presentations if necessary and notes that no time shall be ceded.

Parties may, at any time, object to a question posed to a witness by another party, or to evidence sought to be introduced into the record, if it is irrelevant, immaterial, or unduly repetitious. The Commissioners, as well, may request that such testimony or evidence not be received, or that such evidence be struck from the record.

All persons appearing before the Commission are to fill out two witness cards. These cards are located on the table near the door as well.

Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, please give both cards to the Reporter who is sitting to my right.

The decision of the Commission in this case must be based exclusively on the public record. To avoid any appearance to the contrary, the Commission requests that persons present not engage the members of the Commission in conversation during a recess or at any other time.

Staff will be available throughout the hearing to discuss any procedural questions. You can direct those questions to Mr. Bastida or Ms. Sanchez.

2.0

1 turn off all beepers 2 phones at this time, so as not to disrupt these 3 proceedings. At this time, the Commission will consider 4 5 any preliminary matters. Mr. Bastida, do you have any preliminary matters? 6 7 SECRETARY BASTIDA: Yes, Madam Chairman. The staff has three preliminary matters. The first 8 9 preliminary matter is the applicant has not filed a 10 maintenance of posting. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Moore? 11 12 MR. MOORE: Madam Chairman, we have not filed an affidavit for maintenance of posting. We did 13 14 put the signs up in a timely manner. We did file an 15 affidavit of posting that they were put up in a timely 16 They were checked by me every five days, and they're still up there. We'd ask the Commission to 17 18 leave the record open for the maintenance of posting 19 signs. 20 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Thank 21 you. 22 SECRETARY BASTIDA: The second preliminary 23 Foggy Bottom-West End Advisory matter the on Neighborhood Commission, ANC 2A, the last paragraph of 24 25 the first page erroneously has "approved under the

BZA, and it should be "Zoning Commission Order
governing this facility."
CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right.
SECRETARY BASTIDA: And
CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: So we're just making
a correction?
SECRETARY BASTIDA: Right.
CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
SECRETARY BASTIDA: For the appropriate
body to be in front of.
And then, the third preliminary matter is
the ANC has two preliminary matters.
CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Ms.
Elliott?
MS. ELLIOTT: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'm
Elizabeth Elliott, Chair, Advisory Neighborhood
Commission 2A.
In the we have two questions about
whether this is properly whether this matter is
properly in front of the Commission, because we
believe that we're not sure whether the university
is in non-compliance is in compliance with the
campus plan.
And condition I'm sorry to be searching
for this. It's on the under the BZA Order, the

ĺ	8
1	university was supposed to serve the Advisory
2	Neighborhood Commission and parties with the head
3	count, and the university contends that they've made
4	the filings. And they have made the filings, but the
5	filing was for the previous semester. It runs from
6	January 28th to June the end of June or end of May
7	2002, which is the previous semester, and they were in
8	compliance then.
9	We have no idea at this point whether they
10	are in compliance, because the BZA ordered them to
11	report on the 28th of August, which I've spoken with
12	counsel for GW, Charles Barber, who said that they
13	can't they don't have their numbers at that point
14	in time for the current semester. So we have no idea
15	whether they're in or out of compliance.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
17	MS. ELLIOTT: And we haven't had the time
18	to file to ask to have to appeal to the BZA to have
19	that changed, so that we can know exactly just whether
20	they are or are not in compliance with the Order.
21	That's issue number 1.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
23	MS. ELLIOTT: Did you want the other
24	issue?

NEAL R. GROSS

MS. ELLIOTT: The other issue was they -the university also, in condition 9, is stating that the conditions were declared unconstitutional. This sufficient for is the housing its undergraduate population. It's part of the BZA Order, counting properties outside the not campus plan boundary.

And they correctly state that the District Court did invalidate and declare unconstitutional the Order, but that has been appealed in front of the U.S. District Court very strongly by the city. And it supports our -- the ANC's findings of fact in that case, and continues to say that the university would be out of order in terms of the amount of student population outside the campus boundary.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I'm going to give Mr. Moore and Mr. Barber a little bit of time to respond. But what I'd really like to do is have a submission in writing from you that -- I think we'll go ahead with the hearing, and then if we find that you have valid concerns then we will hold off on decisionmaking.

And then I'll ask for the same from you, so we can study this further.

MR. MOORE: Sure. We'd be happy to submit

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	to the record, Ms. Mitten. Jerry Moore for the
2	university; Charles Barber also for the university.
3	Taking the second first
4	PARTICIPANT: I'm sorry. I cannot hear
5	him.
6	MR. MOORE: The second
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Could you just pull
8	the mike a little closer and speak up?
9	MR. MOORE: The second issue, on
10	condition 9, it was as Ms. Spillinger said, the
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: This is Ms. Elliott
12	here.
13	MR. MOORE: I'm sorry. Ms. Elliott.
14	(Laughter.)
15	Forgive me. Forgive me.
16	As Ms. Elliott has said, the U.S. District
17	Court has invalidated condition 9. It's over. It's
18	of no effect. We have no responsibilities under that.
19	Period. That it's up for appeal does not
20	reinvalidate it. It's a non-issue.
21	As with respect to the university's head
22	count and the how updated that is, Mr. Barber will
23	respond.
24	MR. BARBER: Good evening, Ms. Mitten and
25	members of the Commission.

I	11
	We are in compliance with the reporting
	requirements. The reporting requirements requires us
	to submit certain information on February 28th and
	August 28th of each year, and we have done that.
	Ms. Elliott's concern is that the
	August 28th speaks to the spring numbers, and the
	February 28th submission speaks to the fall numbers.
	There is nothing preventing us from doing that, and,

August we don't have fall numbers.

2.0

We don't take our fall numbers until well into the sixth week of classes. And so our August numbers will always reflect the spring numbers, and our February reporting period will always reflect the fall numbers.

quite frankly, that's what we have to do, because in

We didn't choose these dates. You know, I mean, we can talk about, in another proceeding, maybe better dates. But those are the dates that we were given. We have complied. We have made the submissions.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Well, let's just have some additional submissions on this. And maybe, Ms. Elliott, what you could do is if you would make a submission, and then the university would be given time to respond, since they may have something

1	more extensive to say besides what they have
2	articulated tonight.
3	MR. MOORE: Perhaps now would be a good
4	time, Ms. Mitten, to submit ask the Board to accept
5	the supplemental report on the compliance with the
6	conditions 1 through 8, and 10 through 19, of the
7	Board's remand order.
8	We have submitted documents which show the
9	university in compliance with 1 through 7 and 10
10	through 19. But we neglected to include condition 8,
11	and I'd like to submit that into the record today.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Please do.
13	MR. MOORE: And I'll give Ms. Elliott a
14	copy of that.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Elliott, did you
16	have any other preliminary matters for us tonight?
17	MS. ELLIOTT: I just wanted to mention
18	that we did not get service on the university's
19	papers. We did get them finally, but we didn't get
20	the we didn't get them timely.
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Moore, can you
22	respond to that? Ms. Elliott said she wasn't served
23	with the documents that were submitted to the Office
24	of Zoning in a timely manner.
25	MR. MOORE: That's correct.

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: She
2	MR. MOORE: But she has them. She does
3	have them. Mrs. Miller called me and asked me for a
4	copy and indicated to me she did have a copy. She
5	does have a copy, and I believe she has a copy now.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And have you had
7	adequate time to review the materials?
8	MS. ELLIOTT: Not really. But we'll
9	proceed as
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: But the ANC took a
11	position, is that right?
12	MS. ELLIOTT: Yes, we did.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I think we're
14	I think we can proceed.
15	MS. ELLIOTT: Not based on
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: If you find that you
17	need additional time to make another submission
18	because you didn't have the materials timely, we'll
19	accommodate that.
20	MS. ELLIOTT: That would be that would
21	be great.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
23	MS. ELLIOTT: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Moore, did you
25	have any preliminary matters?

1	MR. MOORE: No.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Anybody else?
3	I think we're ready to proceed, then.
4	I'd like all individuals planning on
5	testifying this evening to rise now to take the oath.
6	Ms. Sanchez?
7	MS. SANCHEZ: Please raise your right
8	hand.
9	(Whereupon, an oath was administered to
10	those individuals planning to testify.)
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Now, I'm confident
12	that you can make an effective presentation in 20
13	minutes, Mr. Moore.
14	MR. MOORE: I will not disappoint your
15	confidence, Mrs. Mitten.
16	Good evening, Madam Chairperson, members
17	of the Commission. I'm Jerry Moore, with the law firm
18	of Arter & Hadden, appearing this evening as counsel
19	to George Washington University.
20	This application requests special
21	exception relief under existing campus plan to amend
22	the conditions set forth in the Board's 1998 Order
23	restricting the classes of users at the Lerner Health
24	and Wellness Center and to allow that same center to
25	remain open until 1:00 a.m. on all days except

Saturday.

2.0

In the Order dated March 31, 1998, the Board of Zoning Adjustment unanimously approved the university's application to construct and use the Health and Wellness Center in the southwest quadrant of the campus at the corner of 23rd and G Streets, N.W.

In making that application, the university clearly stated, and the Board of Zoning Adjustment noted in its Order, that the purpose of the center was to serve the recreational and fitness needs of the university population, including special memberships to immediate neighbors of the university community and a summer membership program to others.

The Board stated in its findings of fact that the university presented evidence and expert testimony to support its contention that the approval of that application will not have an adverse impact on neighboring property because of traffic, especially since most of the users will come from the existing campus population.

The D.C. Office of Planning offered evidence and testimony supporting its recommendation that the application be granted, stating that it will be consistent with the approved campus plan, not

result in an increase in the number of students, faculty, or staff, and it would not impair the intent and purpose of the zoning regulations.

The Office of Planning report did not recommend the imposition of any conditions. Crediting this evidence of record, the Board granted the application. However, in so doing, the Board found that the purpose of the facility is not to provide athletic uses for neighbors, alumni, or anyone else, who is not a student, faculty, or staff person of the Foggy Bottom campus.

Inexplicably, the Board reached this conclusion in direct contradiction to the noted evidence of record that the purpose of the center was to offer fitness to the entire university community, including to its neighbors.

More significantly, the Board decided that offering the use of the facility to anyone who is not a student, faculty member, or staff person from the Foggy Bottom campus, such as alumni, neighbors, or those from other campuses, would create an adverse traffic impact. The Board reached this adverse traffic impact conclusion without any evidence of record.

Who would be more reliable than the

university in stating the purposes for which the center is being built? And despite an unrebutted and unchallenged expert traffic and planning analysis that clearly concluded that the free use of the center, as has been proposed by the university, would not cause any adverse parking or traffic impacts.

By law, the Board is free to reasonably accept or reject any testimony that it wishes, but it has to say why. And there is no evidence whatsoever in the record of the 1998 case that explains the BZA's decision not to accept the expert traffic analysis.

The university is now asking the Commission respectfully to free it from what, in practice, has proven to be unreasonable restrictions on the persons, defined solely by class, who are permitted to participate in the programs offered by the center.

The center offered in -- opened in August 2001, and since the university has been carefully studying who uses the facility, at what times, how many they are, and the means by which they arrive. The objective data, which already has been presented in writing into the record, will be highlighted this evening.

In sum, you will hear that the Lerner

NEAL R. GROSS

Health and Wellness Center has been, and is, operating at levels that are substantially below its capacity, and that the discontinuance of the class restrictive conditions and the requested adjustment to the closing hour will both have a negligible impact on traffic, parking, and neighborhood tranquility.

The burden is the university's to establish -- is the university's to establish the merits of those facts on the record. And for that reason, I would ask the Commission to accord the university the appropriate time that it needs to present its case.

We have endeavored to organize our presenters in a logical and efficient manner. First, I will present Mr. Charles Barber, Senior Counsel to the George Washington University, who will speak in tandem with Mr. Paul Brailsford.

Mr. Barber will detail exactly whom the university wishes to offer membership to and the reasons supporting his request, and the university's efforts to obtain community support.

Mr. Brailsford, the co-founder and CEO of Brailsford & Dunleavy, a firm that decides facility planning and project management services for quality of life facilities at university and schools

2.0

throughout the country.

2.0

I will ask that Mr. Brailsford be qualified as an expert in the field of facilities planning and operations, and I have his resume here.

Finally, I think the Commission is familiar with Ms. Nicole White of Gorove/Slade and Associates, who has undertaken a traffic, parking, and transportation analysis of the area, in the context of this case. I will ask the Board also to qualify Ms. White as an expert witness in the field of traffic and parking analysis.

The professional reports of Mr. Brailsford and Ms. White are in the record, so the purpose this evening will be to highlight the findings and conclusions and to respond to any questions that the Commission may have.

Also, in attendance this evening are Tony Vecchione, the university's Assistant Athletic Director, and Mary Jo Warner, a Senior Associate Director in the Department of Athletics. Each is available to respond to questions within their area of work -- Mr. Vecchione in the operations of the Lerner and Smith Centers, Ms. Warner on the university's squash program.

We trust that you will find our evidence

NEAL R. GROSS

1 be substantial, efficiently presented, and to 2 persuasive. First, I will call on Messrs. Barber and 3 4 Brailsford to testify, please. 5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. MR. BARBER: Good evening. Charles 6 7 Barber. 8 Why are we here? We're here to try to --9 the university wants to realize the vision for the 10 Health and Wellness Center that we had back in 1998. 11 This center was always intended, it was always 12 designed, to serve the broader university community, 13 which includes supporters in the university, which includes our alumni, which includes residents of the 14 15 community. We were, quite frankly, surprised when the 16 BZA limited the facility to students, faculty, and 17 18 staff of the Foggy Bottom community. There was no 19 warning of this. Rather than seek reconsideration, we 2.0 thought we'd get a year or two of operations under our 21 belt and come back with data to make our case, and that is what we have done. 22 23 It's important to note that the Smith 24 Center, which has been in operation for a number of

years, currently serves this population. Residents,

alumni, friends, supporters of the university, can use the Smith Center. The Smith Center, though, is a facility that is more suited for intercollegiate athletics.

And the university's intention is to move that facility strictly for intercollegiate athletics, renovate it, have room for coaches and visiting teams, and have the people who are using the Smith Center to use the Health and Wellness Center. They cannot do so now, and we think that is a shame.

a wonderful facility. It's It's an underutilized facility. It's an opportunity to bring people together. Who are some of the people we're talking about? Well, we're talking about other GW students, not only those who live who are associated with the Foggy Bottom campus, but the Mount Vernon campus, as well as the Virginia campus.

They come to the university from time to time. We don't think this will be a magnet for them to come. Studies have shown people don't go out of their way to come to health care -- to recreational facilities. They go where it's convenient.

We are talking about friends and supporters of the President's Club, which is no more than about 270 people. These are people who are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

supporters of the university, and we want to encourage that support.

We're talking about people who live and work in the community. There are a number of people over the past year who have approached the university and want to use the facility. We made commitments to those organizations right in the neighborhood as we were developing the original plans, said, "If you support us, we will allow you to use this facility under favorable conditions."

We couldn't live up to those obligations once that condition was imposed, and those people, some of them, were here tonight, some of them who have submitted letters, will speak in support of that.

GW alumni -- GW alumni currently use the Smith Center. We want them to have the opportunity to use the Health and Wellness Center. We understand that's a sensitive area, because particularly -- potentially there's a big, large number of GW alumni in the area.

We've approached this in a couple of ways.

We said that the maximum amount of all these categories of new members would not exceed 3,000.

That's the outer limit, and that's a small number compared to about the 18,000 who are now eligible to

2.0

use the Health and Wellness Center. And when you spread that over -- uses pattern over a week, the impact would be small.

How did we come up with 3,000? We think 3,000 is the outer edge of the interest that we have in these various groups. And we've looked at it, and we think we can accommodate the impact of these, because we have taken studies as to the usage of the Smith Center, and what their likely impact would be if that's transferred to the Health and Wellness Center.

Is 3,000 a mandatory number? No, it's not. Maybe the number is 2,500. We think 3,000 is a reasonable number, but we're -- we've put that on the table as 3,000, but we'd be willing to talk about that number.

We also have management techniques, pricing. Will more people want to come to the Health and Wellness Center? Yes. But it will be more expensive than the Smith Center. So we have a way to control that population. And if we find the response is too great, we can increase the pricing.

Finally, there is special memberships. We can look at perhaps alumni. If there are -- or a group that should be perhaps limited to the weekends and to the summer. That's something the university

2.0

would be willing to do once it sees what the response is.

What we're looking for, though, is the flexibility to accommodate a broader usage of this facility. We think it would be in everyone's best interest -- members of the community, parents of students.

I am going to submit a package of letters that we've received over the past year, and one in particular is a letter from a parent of a student who came down during parents' weekend last fall. This was October of 2001. And he wanted to play racquetball with his son, and he couldn't. And he says, "It's inconceivable how the use of the facility by immediate family members of GW students could adversely impact the community."

Although this like may seem an insignificant issue in the light of the recent tragic events -- this was shortly after 9/11 the opportunity for 56-year old а father to racquetball with his 21-year old son during his senior year in college offered the possibility of a lifetime memory. That possibility was denied October 19th.

We want to allow this parent and others who are already coming to the university an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

opportunity to use this facility.

We've taken efforts to make sure our usage projections are correct. We've taken surveys. We've done video. We have a wealth of information on people who are using the facility, both the Health and Wellness and the Smith Center.

And, finally, we -- I'd like to talk about the hours of operation. The hours of operation currently end at 10:00. Our students, like students, like young people all over perhaps the world, are -- stay up late. And we hear about that from the residents of the community.

We think this is a better place for them to be up until 12:00, 1:00. That's what the Smith Center is doing. We are really trying to duplicate what's already in existence with the Smith Center. We think playing basketball at 12:00 is a better thing for them to do than in the bars or some of the other alternatives that could be available.

So we're asking that the hours of operation be moved back to 1:00 p.m. on most days -- I'm sorry, 1:00 a.m. on most days.

Given the limited time, I'll be happy to respond to questions.

Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Mr.
2	Barber.
3	MR. MOORE: Madam Chair, I have here
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Could you turn on
5	your microphone for me?
6	MR. MOORE: I'm sorry. Madam Chair, I
7	have here some noise studies that were done when the
8	university first considered putting up the Health and
9	Wellness Center. They were submitted to the BZA
10	during that time. There was concern whether the
11	bouncing of balls or the yelling of one or two some
12	students in the facility will emanate outside of the
13	facility. This report shows that it that will not
14	occur.
15	And also, I'd like to the Board to
16	qualify, if it would, the Commission to qualify, if it
17	would, Mr. Paul Brailsford in the area of facilities,
18	operations, and planning. I have his resume here.
19	First, I'll submit the noise report.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Why don't we shut off
21	the clock while we look at Mr. Brailsford's resume.
22	MR. MOORE: And, second, I will look at
23	submit Mr. Brailsford's resume for consideration as an
24	expert in facilities planning.
25	(Pause.)

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Do you have resumes
2	for Ms. White?
3	MR. MOORE: I do, indeed.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Let's have those,
5	too.
6	(Pause.)
7	While I'm waiting for the Commission to
8	read, Ms. Elliott, did you have any objection to
9	either of these folks being qualified as experts in
10	their field? No? All right.
11	Any objection from the Commission to Ms.
12	White or Mr. Brailsford? All right. They will be
13	they are qualified as experts in the fields that you
14	proffered.
15	MR. MOORE: Thank you.
16	Mr. Brailsford?
17	MR. BRAILSFORD: Thank you. Can you hear
18	okay?
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.
20	MR. BRAILSFORD: You have my resume, but
21	I thought I'd start out with a few highlights to
22	further demonstrate the credential that I have, just
23	to
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Actually, you know
25	what's better, is if you just roll with the substance

of the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BRAILSFORD: Fine. Okay. Okay. One of the things that we're speaking to are urban campus facilities that accommodate memberships. I just want to cite for the record a number that we have worked on that are very similar -- Tulane University in New Orleans, DePaul in Chicago, University of Cincinnati, University of Akron, Georgia State, Northeastern, the Reggie Lewis Center in Boston -- both of those are in Boston -- and from that we have developed a keen understanding of why people participate in fitness facilities in urban areas, how they make their decisions, and our projections have been regularly extraordinarily accurate, both in terms of the level of patronage that they would receive and also the pattern of that patronage.

For this assignment, we were asked to look at the context within which George Washington University was wanting to change the configuration of who could use the facility. So we looked at that in terms of a national perspective. We looked at usage profiles, membership policies, membership options, hours of operation.

We looked at the impact that the change would have on building utilization for its primary

patrons -- being the students and employees of the institution. We looked at that from a daily perspective, weekly perspective, monthly and seasonal perspectives, and we also considered the potential demand of expanded memberships and services, so that we had an idea of what kind of universe and what kind of market context the university was working within.

One of the things I'd like to talk about is the context within which GW is operating in terms of nationally, because it is not an institution operating in a vacuum, and it has to compete for students, faculty, and staff. And so it's highly relevant what other universities are doing.

Nationally, over the last 15 years, there has been what has been recognized and celebrated as a building boom in terms of campus recreation To give you an idea of the magnitude of facilities. that boom, athletic -- NRSA, which is the trade organization that campus recreation facilities belong to, has estimated that right now there is \$4.4 billion recreation facilities worth of campus under construction right now in the United States.

So what's driving this boom? Well, it's a number of strategic comparators. They are as follows.

They help enhance educational outcomes, enrollment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

management strategies, and then also help enhance the campus community.

Those really are the drivers, and I'm going to cite some examples of some of the populations that these facilities target. The reason why that's important is all of the universities are focusing on these primary objectives first, and by focusing on that it really mitigates some of the concerns I think that Mr. Fondersmith had that this would turn into a commercial operation that would -- I guess would run out of control.

When you think about the primary markets for these facilities, it's students, it's employees, but there are also some additional important target populations that really -- we really find are very common. Student families -- virtually every facility that we've worked on -- about 70 -- has accommodated student families.

Employee families -- alumni are common in just about every sample but one. We've even looked at facilities that we didn't work on. Alumni are almost always allowed.

Guests of the university -- they support institutional relationships -- the relationship that the university would like to have with St. Mary's

2.0

1	Court is an example. Community groups for rental
2	activities and community memberships every facility
3	that we've worked on, with the exception of the
4	University of Miami in Florida, allows community
5	memberships. And that was not because the community
6	didn't want them in; it was because they didn't build
7	a facility of sufficient size and scale to address
8	that comfortably.
9	So when we look at members managing the
LO	membership levels, if I'm usually populations
L1	yes?
L2	MR. MOORE: I've got to stop you.
L3	MR. BRAILSFORD: Sure.
L4	MR. MOORE: We're running low on time.
L5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Would you turn on
L6	your mike?
L7	MR. MOORE: Yes. I have to stop him here,
L8	because we're running low on time.
L9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
20	MR. MOORE: And we'll go to Ms. White,
21	please.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's fine. And
23	we'll ask you questions about the report that was
24	submitted.
25	MS. WHITE: Okay. What a challenge for
1	

me. I left my presentation on the printer, my 15-minute presentation, and now I'm down to a two-minute presentation off the top of my head. So here we go.

I've been working on various GW projects, as many of you may know, over the past five years.

And I did work on the original Health and Wellness Center project, so I am familiar with the campus and this project.

The first figure that Rob, also from Gorove/Slade, shows you is I guess not quite as nice as OP's figure, but it shows the Lerner Health and Wellness Center in relation to the university parking garage, which is where a lot of people would park. Also, the Smith Center and the Foggy Bottom Metro Station, which is just two blocks away.

I guess I'll walk you through the steps that we did in order to understand transportation impacts. First, we wanted to understand the existing conditions of the Lerner Health and Wellness Center and the President's Club, because, as you heard, some of the President's Club people will transfer to the Health and Wellness Center.

So we worked with the university to collect some data. They have G-World magnetic cards that you swipe upon entering the facility, and so we

2.0

1	were able to mechanically count the number of people
2	entering the Lerner Health and Wellness Center on any
3	given day. So we collected data over a week's time,
4	and we also had someone manually count people as they
5	exited the facility.
6	So I'm going to ask Rob to show our next
7	figure.
8	This shows ins and outs of the Health and
9	Wellness Center, and also accumulation. Accumulation
10	is just a snapshot in time. That's if you froze the
11	Health and Wellness Center at like 6:00 p.m. and took
12	a picture, you would count 243 patrons there at any
13	one time.
14	And the next figure Rob is going to show
14 15	And the next figure Rob is going to show you shows how the Health and Wellness Center is
15	you shows how the Health and Wellness Center is
15 16	you shows how the Health and Wellness Center is significantly underutilized. You see the capacity is
15 16 17	you shows how the Health and Wellness Center is significantly underutilized. You see the capacity is 1,973, and our curve is at 12 percent of this
15 16 17 18	you shows how the Health and Wellness Center is significantly underutilized. You see the capacity is 1,973, and our curve is at 12 percent of this capacity. So that's part of the case that we're
15 16 17 18	you shows how the Health and Wellness Center is significantly underutilized. You see the capacity is 1,973, and our curve is at 12 percent of this capacity. So that's part of the case that we're presenting here today.
15 16 17 18 19 20	you shows how the Health and Wellness Center is significantly underutilized. You see the capacity is 1,973, and our curve is at 12 percent of this capacity. So that's part of the case that we're presenting here today. MR. MOORE: Impact on parking.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you shows how the Health and Wellness Center is significantly underutilized. You see the capacity is 1,973, and our curve is at 12 percent of this capacity. So that's part of the case that we're presenting here today. MR. MOORE: Impact on parking. MS. WHITE: Okay. So Jerry tells me just

We did a transportation survey over a

25

Ш

1	week. It was a seven-question survey, and we asked
2	people at the Health and Wellness Center, and also
3	President's Club users, how did you get here today?
4	Where did you park? And what was your primary reason
5	for coming here?
6	And as you can see, as we expect in this
7	urban setting, most of the people walk or take Metro
8	rail, and only 10 percent of the people actually
9	drove. Eighteen percent of President's Club people
10	drove. So I guess I'll
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Just wrap up your
12	last
13	MS. WHITE: Okay. So we used this in
14	order to come up with well, let's go to the
15	projection that shows extending hours and there
16	were three zoning changes that we were looking at.
17	One was extending the operating hours, and you can see
18	the green curve to where the late evening hours and
19	the early morning hours have to do with that.
20	We projected that level of activity
21	understanding that the hours would be extended to
22	accommodate like intramural activities and stuff, so
23	that's how we got that curve.
24	The red curve has to do with the 3,000 max
25	that Mr. Barber spoke of, and we know that all 3,000

people are not going to come on any one day. We estimated around 32 percent would come on any given day, and then we came up with a profile based on characteristics that we observed at the President's Club.

And you can see peaks in the morning, around lunchtime, and in the evening after work. So you can see we went from 243 patrons to 358, which is still significantly below the 1973 capacity of the building.

now we'll go to our traffic parking. From this, we applied 15 а automobile factor from -- that we obtained from the survey, and we found that the change -- the zoning changes would result in 32 vehicle trips during the peak hour, additional trips that is, and 17 parked cars during the peak hour. Some of those would park on the street, and some in university facilities.

Thirty-two represents less than .5 percent of the 8,500 peak hour vehicles that are on the campus, and it was not necessary to do a level of service analysis. I know that's what everyone is accustomed to. But we met with DDOT and they agreed, so just based on the magnitude of this we were able to determine that there was no measurable impact.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Anything else?
2	MR. MOORE: My time is up.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
4	MR. MOORE: My time is up.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
6	MR. MOORE: Except for a closing
7	statement.
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, you'll have
9	time for yes.
10	All right. Let's go to questions. Mr.
11	May, do you want to go first?
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Sure. My first
13	question is for anybody who wants to take it on, I
14	guess. We have this capacity number that's thrown out
15	here as 1,973 people, and the question I have is, is
16	that based is that fire code capacity?
17	MR. BRAILSFORD: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Now, fire code
19	capacity and actual user operation of this facility
20	are two very different things. What's the actual
21	capacity in terms of how many people can be
22	comfortably playing on the various courts and using
23	the various equipment, and so on and so forth?
24	I mean, surely you didn't build a facility
25	for 2,000 people and you expect a capacity a

maximum capacity at full operation of only 350. You'd be crazy. So it's got to be something less than that.

What's the number?

MR. BRAILSFORD: I'm not sure I can give

you a precise number, because we didn't do a precise calculation on exactly that. But based on -- we look at facility capacities based on populations that they can serve based on normal patron flows. Based on that analysis, we're comfortable that the building can comfortably accommodate the additional 3,000 members without any problem, even with the university's utilization of the building maturing.

Depending on the events that are going on in the building -- intramurals and the like -- the actual capacity can go up or down depending on how that's comfortable.

I did do a quickie calculation to determine what the impact would be during peak hours that would -- this one here is extremely conservative. I said, "Let's look at what the population would really look like." And I think non-President's Club members would be even less likely to drive than President's Club members, because they would look more like typical urban health club members.

So I think instead of 32 the number could

2.0

be as low as 15 to 20 people that might be inclined to drive during peak hour. And that the number of additional users that would go through the building during peak hour that would be in the membership category we're looking at would be one or two people permitted entering the building. And if you were to look at some of the video that has been taken, you wouldn't even be able to perceive that difference at all.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Still getting back to the original question, which is if you look at all of the individual space -- and maybe you can't provide this answer today, and I would think that you could with a little bit of checking. But, you know, a basketball court holds 10 people when you're playing a game, right? It doesn't hold what the fire code capacity is.

So now, granted, when you have those 10 people there, there are probably four or five people milling around outside. There is -- you know, there is more capacity than simply the number of people who are going to be playing a given game in a given space or using given equipment, and so on. But there has to be some operating capacity that somebody planned for.

MR. BRAILSFORD: Without looking at a

NEAL R. GROSS

2.0

1	specific model and giving a specific number, based on
2	the size and configuration of the building, I would
3	guess it's between 6- and 700 people, which is still
4	substantially beyond
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
6	MR. BRAILSFORD: what is currently
7	accommodated.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: Six or 700 people. I
9	think it would be more instructive if the top bar
10	there was actually the 6- or 700 number instead of the
11	fire code capacity.
12	That's helpful. And if you want to refine
13	that number and qualify it, I'd be happy to receive
14	additional information on that, because I think that's
15	a relevant point.
16	The next issue is something we didn't talk
17	about specifically in the testimony, but it's the
18	squash thing. Is there a long-term plan for squash to
19	be played somewhere else, and this is just a temporary
20	circumstance?
21	MR. BUNNELL: We're like the military, Mr.
22	May. We have a lot of people who do a lot of
23	different things here, and this is our squash lady.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
25	MR. BUNNELL: Mary Jo Warner is the one

1	of the assistant directors in the Department of
2	Athletics, and she is in charge of squash.
3	MS. WARNER: Yes. We've added
4	intercollegiate squash for men and women at GW. We've
5	had a men's squash club for 23 years. Prior to this,
6	we did not have a women's squash team.
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. But the long-
8	term plan for where they will play?
9	MS. WARNER: Is in the Health and Wellness
10	Center.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: So you would hope to
12	continue to use it. Now, is there any hope or plan
13	that there will actually be spectators for this, or is
14	it always just going to be open the door, send in the
15	competitors, and out comes a winner?
16	MS. WARNER: There would be a very minimal
17	number of spectators, an occasional parent or friend
18	or squash does not draw a lot of spectators, and
19	there would be no seating for them.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
21	MR. BARBER: It is not our plan to have
22	spectators. There is not a facility available for
23	them. And the reason why we I think it's in the
24	papers, but I wanted to emphasize the point. The
25	reason why we want to have squash at the Health and

1	Wellness Center because intercollegiate squash
2	requires a squash course of a particular dimension,
3	and the Smith Center doesn't meet that.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. Well, I'm just
5	thinking that, you know, if there are plans long term
6	to renovate Smith Center, and that's supposed to be
7	the spectator facility, was there a plan ultimately to
8	have squash courts there?
9	MR. BARBER: No.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: As opposed to in the
11	Health and Wellness Center?
12	MR. BARBER: No. It would not fit in the
13	Smith Center.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
15	MR. BARBER: So we would commit not to
16	having significant spectators in the Health and
17	Wellness Center.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. So it's going to
19	be just a handful of people watching the game.
20	MR. BARBER: That's right.
21	MR. MOORE: Mr. May, I think the Office of
22	Planning also said in its report, when you see the
23	squash courts and the area there is to watch, it's not
24	an area that invites people to spectators invites
25	itself to spectator sports. It's just a hallway.

1	MR. BARBER: And We Wouldn't allow that.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. I've been in
3	health clubs, and I've actually watched squash games,
4	so I know there is some ability to actually watch the
5	game going on. So it's but it's not I can
6	understand how difficult it would be to design a
7	facility to actually sit and watch it. So, but I just
8	don't know I don't know what a competitive facility
9	would be like, so that's why I'm asking the question.
LO	Okay. The other question I have is about
L1	the current population of users at the Smith Center
L2	for their health club function, or whatever.
L3	Essentially what you are I mean, that population is
L4	now the same population that you would be inviting to
L5	come into the Lerner Center. And so that's open to
L6	people who live in the neighborhood, work in the
L7	neighborhood, alumni, everybody?
L8	MR. BARBER: Yes.
L9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Anybody and everybody
20	pretty much?
21	MR. BARBER: I know of no restrictions.
22	No, no restrictions.
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: There are no
24	restrictions. I mean, so
25	MR. BARBER: I mean, not that you're

Wellness Center, but --2 3 Mr. May, it's important that MR. MOORE: 4 you know that alumni can only use the Smith Center on 5 weekends and in the summer. And that same plan is in the Health and 6 presented here today Wellness 7 We're not talking about a whole lot of 8 people. We're talking about perhaps 30, but I have a 9 witness that can talk to that as well. 10 MR. BARBER: But your point is that --11 does it allow a wide variety of users, and it does. 12 Like, for example, students from the School Without Walls sometimes use the Smith Center. We'd like for 13 them to use the Health and Wellness Center. 14 15 People in the State Department work there, 16 people who live in St. Mary's Court, a few of them now use the Smith Center. 17 18 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. But that same 19 population of people, when they move to the Health and 2.0 Wellness Center, will presumably grow, because it's 21 going to be a better -- it's a better facility. 22 MR. BARBER: Yes. We expect some growth. 23 As I was saying, there's -- we would temper that for 24 -- with two measures. One, we're charging more for the Lerner Center. The Smith Center is either free or 25

proposing exactly that for -- for the Health and

1 a nominal cost. The other thing is that, of course, we would agree to this cap on the total number of new 2 3 That's the management tool for preventing 4 that number to grow out of control. 5 COMMISSIONER MAY: All right. Okay. That's it for me. Thanks. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Mr. May. 8 Anybody else? Mr. Parsons, any questions? 9 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. Mr. 10 Brailsford, in your report you have a table. 11 no page numbers, so I'm not sure what page it is. 12 it's Roman numeral six, and it's talking about the 13 typical hours of campus student recreation centers. 14 And as you report in your first sentence, most of them 15 are open from 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. 16 MR. BRAILSFORD: Yes. And some 17 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: until 18 midnight. And then you go on to say that somebody did 19 a study at Dartmouth and said that everybody is -- at 2.0 least at half of them are up at 3:00 in the morning. 21 But I guess I'm not persuaded that -- by this data 22 that there's a need to remain open until 1:00 in the 23 morning. 24 speculative that other Ιt seems more

institutions are thinking about doing that, whereas in

reality they're really open until 10:00 or 11:00.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BRAILSFORD: I can speak to that. The reason why universities like to hold these facilities open later, there is really two reasons. One is the -- particularly since the drinking age got lowered 21 to 18, universities are much, much more provide non-alcohol alcohol-free to or activities for the students to get engaged in. Being able to hold those facilities open during the hours which they would do -- be doing those other things is critically important.

The second thing is a matter of being able to extend the capacity of the building for a particular function which strategically is very important for the institution, which is intramurals. The quality of an intramural experience depends on how many games a team can get in a season before it qualifies for the playoffs and moves on.

With only four courts in the building, being able to extend the hours has a dramatic impact on the extent to which it can expand the intramural programming.

If you look at the other kinds -- the other users that might be using the building, you're right, there's not that much of a compelling interest

1 for large numbers of other people to use a building 2 late at night. But I think it's a -- particularly in an 3 urban setting where it's not like the University of 4 5 Illinois, it has 23 indoor basketball courts, there are going to be four. And I think it's very important 6 7 to be able to get the maximum utilization out of that 8 asset. 9 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, do intramural 10 sports require referees and --11 MR. BRAILSFORD: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: And do you really 13 think you're going to lure that kind of person down 14 here at 1:00 or 2:00 in the morning? 15 MR. BRAILSFORD: Oh, absolutely. Universities routinely run intramurals until 2:00 in 16 17 the morning. Absolutely. 18 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: But not in these 19 facilities that you report here. 20 MR. BRAILSFORD: No. But I could cite 21 We were trying to cite urban institutions others. 22 that you all would be familiar with. If you went into 23 biq 10 southeastern conference, almost any or 24 institution, they're running intramurals routinely 25 late into the -- into the early morning.

1 MR. BARBER: Could I add one more thing 2 about the --The Smith Center has 3 MR. BRAILSFORD: 4 intramurals until 1:00 in the morning now. 5 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: T see. MR. BARBER: And the other issue about the 6 7 late hours is that we're hoping for, and we would 8 expect, some migration. That is, some of the students 9 who are coming at the peak time -- 5:00 to 7:00 --10 would choose to come at a later time; therefore, kind of softening that peak. And it kind of fits with our 11 12 desire to broaden the usage. We would spread out the 13 usage as well. There are some students who would just 14 choose to come later. 15 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Mr. Brailsford, you 16 didn't give the capacities of these other institutions 17 as to whether they are operating at a 15 percent of 18 capacity or 20 percent of capacity. 19 MR. BRAILSFORD: No, we didn't. 2.0 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Has the university 21 overdesigned this, or what is the circumstance that we're in here? 22 23 MR. BRAILSFORD: I think the university 24 designed it for the use that it's asking for now. Ι 25 mean, that was I think what was always envisioned. Ι

think that the -- even if the facility were to be completely utilized during peak times, during say September and January, there would still be other portions of the facility that would be underutilized just based on the typical patron flow of students and faculty.

And some of the populations that the university is seeking to be able to use the facility would be able to take advantage of those typically underused -- utilized times, some of the user categories that were being cited. It's just a matter of -- it's almost not even a matter of a capacity.

It's a matter of reasonable policy for a married student not to be able to come to the Health and Wellness Center with his or her spouse. It's just unusual. We just don't see universities providing that kind of limitation.

So there are lots of categories of utilization that the university is seeking that I think are even aside from the capacity issue.

COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right, then. You, in the last paragraph of your report, cite DePaul University's experience. And there you say that they have 3,000 non-members, which is I guess exactly parallel to what's been described here. And this

1 generates about 800 new members or new participants 2 per day. We are still way under capacity at this 3 facility, even if we go to 3,000, right? 4 5 MR. BRAILSFORD: Yes. I would think that there would probably be room 6 3,000 7 additional utilization of the building. But I think 8 it's in the university's best interest not to exploit 9 that up to the margin. One of the things that we've 10 seen is that these facilities take three to five years 11 to fully mature into the culture of the institution. 12 So I think some of that capacity is going 13 to naturally be absorbed by increased utilization by 14 students and employees as it becomes a more normal 15 part of their existence on campus. 16 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, in that case, 17 then, is one year a good test if it's really a three-18 to five-year --19 MR. BRAILSFORD: Our understanding of what 2.0 is likely to happen is drawing some observations that 21 we've had for facilities over the last 16, 17 years. 22 So I think we're very comfortable projecting what we 23 think the mature level of utilization might be, and we're very comfortable that within that envelope the 24 25 3,000 people that the institution is wanting the

1	flexibility to be able to allow use the building will
2	fit.
3	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hood?
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Madam
6	Chair.
7	Mr. Moore but I'm going to direct this
8	question to Mr. Barber. Mr. Moore, in his statement,
9	he mentioned free use. Is that what is being offered
10	to the neighborhood free use?
11	MR. BARBER: No. Let me
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: He used that term
13	rather loosely, and I was thinking he was talking
14	about cost. He said I think you did mention free
15	use.
16	MR. MOORE: I mentioned the ability of the
17	university to choose its members freely, not the free
18	use of the facility.
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Makes a
20	difference.
21	MR. MOORE: A difference.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
23	MR. BARBER: Most users will be charged a
24	cost. We would we have talked about and are ready
25	to adjust pricing to make it more favorable to

1	community residents. In fact, we've talked to like
2	St. Mary's Court and allowing those particular older
3	citizens that are right on the square to have a very
4	favorable usage pattern in terms of price.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And the
6	question that I have about this staying open late, you
7	mentioned that you are going to stay open until
8	you're proposing to stay open until 1:00 every evening
9	except for Saturday.
10	MR. BARBER: That's right.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: What time are you
12	going to close on Saturday?
13	MR. BARBER: 11:00.
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't
15	MR. BARBER: You don't understand that?
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I really don't
17	understand that.
18	MR. BARBER: It's counterintuitive, and I
19	I apologize. It is counterintuitive. What we have
20	seen is it doesn't matter how late we stay open on
21	Saturday. After 11:00 or after 10:00, at the Smith
22	Center, you don't get very many patrons.
23	Now, we may revisit that. I would just as
24	soon have it open until 1:00 every day of the week and
25	see if we can do some programming to bring students in

1 during Saturday. But what our experience at the Smith Center has been prior to the Health and Wellness 2 3 Center is -- and even afterwards, is that there is 4 very little usage on the later hours on Saturday. 5 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm trying to understand how this is going to work. 6 You mentioned a 7 plan that you had of encompassing the neighborhood and 8 the community folks coming to use the facility. 9 MR. BARBER: Right. 10 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And you -- one of the things you mentioned was favorable conditions. 11 12 MR. BARBER: Right. 13 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I guess -- and I 14 wouldn't expect for us to have anything too specific. 15 But I just don't see a plan. I don't see how it's 16 going to work. MR. BARBER: Okay. Well, let me --17 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't see how 18 19 you're going to make a cap and not let the next person 2.0 across the street come over when I want to -- I live 21 in the neighborhood, too. I just don't see you 22 cutting that off. 23 My primary involvement is MR. BRAILSFORD: with Brailsford & Dunleavy, but we have a sister 24 25 company called Centers, LLC, that allows universities

1	to outsource their campus recreation services. And
2	that's why we cite DePaul University, because it's one
3	of our facilities that is in an urban area.
4	The proximity of the institute of the
5	facility to parking and to public transportation is
6	very similar. So the nature of the Lincoln Park
7	neighborhood is very similar to Foggy Bottom, so there
8	are a lot of parallels.
9	There, interestingly, they had to have
10	outside memberships as to get their zoning
11	approval, because the neighborhood said, "If you don't
12	let us in, you can't build it." And it was the
13	neighborhood
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So you used it as
15	a bargaining tool.
16	MR. BRAILSFORD: Right.
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
18	MR. BRAILSFORD: And so the neighborhood
19	actually fought against having restricted boundaries,
20	because they envisioned themselves that they may be
21	transient, might move around this the different
22	parts of the city. They may have buddies that they
23	would want to invite.
24	So, anyway, so it's very much the
25	circumstance that DePaul is seeking I mean, that GW

is seeking was imposed on DePaul by its neighbors. However, to protect its interest for its primary patrons, the students -- and its employees, it does have a soft cap.

What I mean by a soft cap is there is not a prescribed number that they're limited to. But it just -- it basically monitors the utilization. And when utilization starts to crowd the students, they start tailoring it back or asking us to tailor it back.

It actually works -- it works very well, because it creates a sense of urgency, that the neighbors want to make sure that they're queued up. We have a very long waiting list. It doesn't create any ill will with the neighborhood. They understand the importance of the university paying attention to its priorities first, and it's carefully managed.

People on the member -- on the waiting list are given an opportunity first to buy what we call a blue membership, which is an off-peak hour membership. We're getting ready to introduce a blue membership plus, which allows the members to have a limited number of times that they can go during normal peak hours to further entice people to want to participate under that kind of a membership.

2.0

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
2	MR. BARBER: We keep track of new
3	memberships. And of the 3,000, what we have said is
4	that fully one-third will be members of the community.
5	And so we would keep track of the community new
6	members and the non-community of new members, and when
7	we got to that cutoff point we wouldn't offer any new
8	memberships until there was some attrition.
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Mr.
10	Brailsford, let me just go back to you for a second.
11	You made a statement about how hard it would be on
12	married students to go to the gym and not be able to
13	take their spouse. I don't need a response, but I'm
14	just going to tell you most people go to the gym to
15	relieve stress.
16	(Laughter.)
17	MR. BRAILSFORD: I know where you're
18	going. I know where you're going.
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm serious. So I
20	don't you know, that argument I know you do
21	studies.
22	(Laughter.)
23	They are counter
24	MR. MOORE: I'd like to disassociate
25	myself with that comment.

1	(Laughter.)
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm being real
3	here, so
4	(Laughter.)
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anything else?
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Unfortunately, we
7	didn't do a level of service, because I would like to,
8	again, find out what Gorove/Slade and Ms. White had,
9	what they thought the level of service would have been
10	in that area. But I understand through your
11	testimony, Ms. White, you didn't do level of service.
12	MS. WHITE: Yes. Typically, we do like a
13	level of service analysis. If the university were to,
14	let's say, add additional parking spaces or, you know,
15	we'd have to look specifically at that type of impact.
16	But because the level of the increase in peak hour
17	vehicles was so low and we talked to DDOT about
18	this and they agreed in our meeting that it wasn't
19	necessary to do a level of service analysis.
20	Plus, people are dispersed. It's not like
21	everyone is parking at one facility. They park
22	dispersed throughout the campus. So
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me ask a
24	question. I don't make it up that way too much

because of the traffic, to be frankly honest.

1	(Laughter.)
2	But let me
3	MR. MOORE: Commuter traffic. Commuter
4	traffic.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: ask a question
6	about the Presidential Club. Where is that in
7	relationship to the Wellness Center? If somebody
8	could just point it to me on a map. You don't
9	MS. WHITE: It's in the Smith Center.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's in the
11	Presidential Club, that's
12	MS. WHITE: The President's Club is in the
13	Smith Center, if you can
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, it's in the
15	Smith Center.
16	MS. WHITE: Yes.
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I thought
18	I'm talking about a totally different club.
19	MR. MOORE: Mr. Hood, this is a membership
20	facility. It has no facility to it. President's Club
21	is a class of membership, but there is no specific
22	room with, you know, our placard on the front.
23	We have here Mr. Tony Vecchione, who is
24	the Assistant Athletic Director, and he can explain
25	exactly what the President's Club is all about to you,

 $1 \parallel \sin$

2.0

VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. I thought we were talking about a totally different facility. Thank you.

MR. MOORE: No.

MR. VECCHIONE: No. The President's Club is located within the Smith Center. And it's a special locker room facility for both men and women. It has its own sauna and steam room in it. So it's located within the facility, and we charge a larger price for it. We charge \$500 for GW faculty, staff, and affiliated people, and we charge \$600 for people who are not affiliated with GW. And there's a \$500 initiation fee.

MR. MOORE: Mr. Hood, this is, in essence, a premium membership to use special facilities within the Smith Center right now.

VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Educate me on this

-- on the President's Club. Would you have some of
the same equipment, like Nautilus, free weights? What
do you have in --

MR. VECCHIONE: We have new equipment, of course, at the Health and Wellness Center. And basically the cardio equipment is Life Fitness equipment. We have Hammer Strength free weight

1	equipment, and also Life Fitness selectorized or
2	plate-pin loaded equipment. So it's all state of the
3	art.
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So what's the
5	difference between the Wellness Center and the
6	President's Club, besides the affluent the money
7	issue and all of that other stuff, what is the
8	difference?
9	MR. VECCHIONE: It's larger. The Health
LO	and Wellness Center is much bigger.
L1	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: The Wellness
L2	Center.
L3	MR. VECCHIONE: And the Smith Center is
L4	you know, the cardio area is very small. It's
L5	probably about you know, I'm just guessing, it's
L6	about one-tenth of the size of the Health and Wellness
L7	Center.
L8	We have a very small free weight room.
L9	It's a racquetball court. Our free weight room in the
20	Smith Center is a converted racquetball court. It's
21	that size. And the room at the Health and Wellness
22	Center is about four times the size of it, probably
23	four to five times the size.
24	We only have 85 President's Club members
25	at the Smith Center, and that's the number we're

1	looking at moving over to the Health and Wellness
2	Center.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So what you're
4	going to do, if I understand you correctly, you're
5	going to move those 85 members over to the Wellness
6	Center.
7	MR. VECCHIONE: That's correct.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And close the
9	Smith Center.
10	MR. VECCHIONE: That's right. What
11	happens at the Smith Center lots of times is that
12	whenever we have special events basketball games,
13	men's/women's basketball games we have to shut the
14	facility down completely, because our visiting team
15	locker room is the men's and women's general locker
16	room. So in order to have an athletic event of that
17	size, you have to shut the building down.
18	So people who pay money for a membership,
19	as well as the students and faculty and staff that use
20	the building, that's taken away from them. They can't
21	cannot use the building whenever we have special
22	events.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
24	MR. VECCHIONE: That's why we built it.
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me just ask

1	maybe you would be the person. You're trying to stay
2	open until 1:00. Most of the time when you exercise,
3	if you exercise your metabolism is still running after
4	a while, so that wouldn't put you to sleep until maybe
5	3:00 or 4:00 in the morning.
6	So I really don't understand the rationale
7	of staying open until 1:00. I really don't.
8	MR. VECCHIONE: The main reason
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me just ask
10	this, too, so you can respond in at one time.
11	MR. VECCHIONE: Okay.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Do many people
13	work out between 11:00 and 1:00?
14	MR. VECCHIONE: They do. Okay? But the
15	large population that will use the facility at the
16	later hours are basically those that are participating
17	in intramural activities and also club activities.
18	And we have a high demand for that type of competition
19	and that type of space, and we do not have that in the
20	Smith Center.
21	We're compensating right now in the Smith
22	Center for time that we don't have in the Health and
23	Wellness Center, but we're running out of it. And to
24	provide those type of opportunities to our students,
25	we just can't do it.

Τ.	MS. WHITE: Mr. Hood, can I just add, from
2	my personal experience I used to play in Virginia,
3	just a few short years ago, and they had volleyball
4	club leagues that started at 11:00 at night. So, I
5	mean, and I'm out of school, so I'm sure the
6	collegiates would be happy to participate that time of
7	night.
8	MR. VECCHIONE: We have classes in the
9	evening, and usually, you know, it's hard for
10	intramural events to start before 6:00. So they
11	usually start at 6:00 and after, and they get out of
12	class also around 8:00.
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
14	Thank you for answering my questions.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I just want to follow
16	up on the questions that Mr. Hood was asking. I just
17	want to make sure I didn't miss something. Isn't the
18	only intramural facility in the Health and Wellness
19	Center squash courts? What else is there?
20	MR. BRAILSFORD: The only intercollegiate
21	facility is squash courts. Intercollegiate is
22	different than intramurals. Intramurals is students
23	playing between students. Intercollegiate is
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So what kind
25	of sports are what are we talking about?

MS. WHITE: We've had basketball and volleyball.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I'm also sort of baffled about the late hour that's being promoted here, especially the argument that's being made that this is an alternative for students who want to go out drinking, because you're closing early on Saturday because that's the night they're most likely to go out drinking, and yet you're going to close early.

And the hours of these other facilities that you show in the survey that you did, each of them close earlier on Saturday than they do during the week. And so I -- and some close earlier on Friday as well. So it strikes me that when -- that you're -- that you're really not addressing that problem at all.

MR. BARBER: Saturday -- what has happened Saturday is -- reflects what students' patterns are without trying to adjust them. I think we can come up with programs, maybe structure the intramural programs, and so they occur more on Saturday.

What I was reflecting in terms of the university's experience with the Smith Center is if we do nothing and say, "All right. Here is -- the facility is open. You come when you want to come," the patterns are they come up until all hours, up

2.0

1	until very late on every day except Saturday.
2	If we take a more proactive stance, which
3	I think the university is certainly has considered
4	and is willing to do, that we program things on a
5	Saturday. We can attract more of an audience on
6	Saturday and stay open on Saturday.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I mean, don't you
8	have I mean, I've read surveys about the great
9	lengths that students will go to to stay awake
10	MR. BARBER: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: including taking
12	Ritalin and other things. I mean, don't you have an
13	interest a greater interest in terms of their
14	academic experience to getting them to bed?
15	MR. BARBER: You know
16	(Laughter.)
17	students have a
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: To sleep.
19	MR. BARBER: I appreciate that. I
20	appreciate that. You know, I have a college age
21	student, and they sleep at different times. And it's
22	not like they're not getting sleep. It's not like if
23	they're not in the gym at 12:00, well, they'll be home
24	in bed asleep. That's not what's happening.

There are -- sometimes their classes will

	start rater, and so they it steep rate. Sometimes
2	they will sleep during the middle of the day. They
3	will get their sleep, but it just won't be at 11:00 or
4	12:00 at night. But they are just up.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, what time do
6	you close the library?
7	MR. BARBER: Midnight, but it stays open
8	later during certain times of the year.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Like exams or
10	something?
11	MR. BARBER: Yes. And there are some of
12	our facilities that allow access 24 hours.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, how about the
14	Gelman Library, the main library, you routinely close
15	that at midnight, right?
16	MR. BARBER: Right. I have to doublecheck
17	on that. I believe there are parts that stay open
18	later, but that's 12:00 sounds about right. I'd
19	really have to check on that.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: So, I mean, it just
21	strikes me as somehow inconsistent for an academic
22	institution to want to leave a sports facility open
23	later than they would leave their library open. I
24	just
25	MR. BARBER: Really, I can give you the
	i i

1 exact hours of Gelman that -- and I believe it's -- I know at some times of the year they are open -- it's 2 3 open 24 hours. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, why don't you 4 5 submit that for the record. MR. BARBER: We will. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That would be great. 8 MR. BARBER: All right. 9 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I want to also follow 10 up on something that Mr. Parsons and Mr. May both 11 asked about, which is the way the facility was 12 designed. And in the -- this is in the beginning of the submission. 13 14 On page 6, it talks about the usage that projected in 1998, and 15 it says that those projections indicated that the university could expect 16 during an average weekday about 2,100 visitors, and of 17 18 these users 242 were expected during the 7:00 p.m. 19 hour, which was thought to be the peak hour, and 212 2.0 would come around 2:00 on a typical day, and then it 21 goes on to give some further numbers. 22 And then, later, on page 9, you talk about 23 the peak usage -- the peak hour apparently was not 7:00 p.m, but 5:00 to 6:00, and you have 243 users at 24

the peak hour, which is basically what you had been

projecting. And then, typical usage is around, as it's shown on the top of page 11, typical day, you know, 2,400 visitors. And you've said, well, you were projecting during a typical day 2,100 visitors.

This is before the restrictions that the BZA put on about the usage. So, you know, what -- it seems to me that you got exactly what you planned on. You planned on a facility that would have this kind of usage, and you have this kind of usage.

MR. BARBER: Well, there are two factors.

One, we hadn't -- I think the timeframe, the early closing, has condensed the usage to make it higher during the hours of 7:00 to 10:00 when it's open.

We'd like, for one, to spread that out, having earlier openings and later closings, which would allow more people to use the facilities during the middle of the day.

And, two, our experience of running the facility. We see that at its max, which is on a Wednesday, five to six, 250 people in the building; we realize there's still a great amount of unused space that's not being used. We've had the benefit of the utilization experience now in seeing what basically has been and what that means for the operation of the facility, and we realize we have a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	greater capacity now.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, didn't you have
3	somebody like Mr. Brailsford advising you when you
4	originally designed the facility?
5	MR. BARBER: Not in that detail. We were
6	fairly new at this. We did some rough projections,
7	but in terms of our operation of health and wellness
8	facility.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. In one of the
10	submissions that we got in the package that we were
11	handed today from the letter of support from the
12	Western Presbyterian Church, they were talking about
13	how advantageous it would be for the residents to
14	become members at an extremely low rate, and Mr. Hood
15	was talking to you about what exactly is being
16	offered
17	MR. BARBER: Yes, okay.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: to people, and you
19	know, we have these letters of support.
20	Well, what are their expectations?
21	MR. BARBER: Yes, I'm sorry. I should
22	have spelled that out in greater detail.
23	What we have said is that that we would
24	charge community residents and we have defined
25	that, the boundaries of what that means the same

1	rates that we charge staff, and now that currently is
2	\$295 a year, is what staff pay.
3	We've tried to accommodate community
4	interests in two ways. One, we said of the 3,000, one
5	third would be from the community, and we also said in
6	terms of pricing the community residents would pay
7	what the staff pays.
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And that's something
9	that you are proffering indefinitely or that's just
LO	for now or for what? I mean, what's the
L1	MR. BARBER: We're prepared to make that
L2	commitment.
L3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And would that be a
L4	condition as well?
L5	MR. BARBER: Yes.
L6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And I think that, you
L7	know, one of the concerns of the community is that
L8	it's not consistent with your mission to turn this
L9	into any kind of commercial facility.
20	MR. BARBER: Right.
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And you know, you've
22	sort of delineated these different classifications of
23	potential users, and you know, things like having
24	guests of existing members is, you know, one cut, and
) 5	then another gut would be family members and so

	70
1	forth.
2	Have you spent any time prioritizing which
3	classes of members you would most want to be included
4	if we got down to trying to accommodate the community,
5	and also recognizing the situation that it does seems
6	a little onerous for someone who's a member, a student
7	or something not to be able to bring his father or
8	something in?
9	Have you thought about that or would you
10	think about that?
11	MR. BARBER: Yes, we can think about that
12	in terms of prioritizing other non-community uses. Is
13	that what the question is?
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, what means the
15	most to you? What means the most to you, the
16	university?
17	MR. BARBER: Right.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I mean, it's one
19	thing to say I mean, I can see that you can make
20	the argument in terms of advancing your mission, which
21	is why you're allowed through a special exception
22	process in these residential zones in the first place.
23	MR. BARBER: Correct. I understand.

to your academic mission? And I think that might help

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:

24

25

What means the most

us if we get to the point where we need to.

2.0

MR. BARBER: I appreciate that, and we'd be happy to do it.

Let me just give you the benefit of our thinking to date, and maybe we need to carry our thinking a little further.

We see all of the groups that we've identified as having a connection to our mission in terms of a broader university, in terms of encouraging support, in terms of the alumni, in terms of the President's Club. And these are people we think are -- you know, we expect they're going to support financially and otherwise the university.

We think the community members make sense. We think it can build some goodwill, opportunity to improve the town-gown relationship, and so our approach was to cap the number so the additional numbers don't become too large, have a specified number for the community so they don't get overwhelmed by the new members, but then give us the flexibility to accommodate a range of people.

I mean how do you fit in the Board of Trustees? The Board of Trustees can't use it. They can have access to every other building in the campus and they have a supervisory responsibility for the

1	university, but they can't use it.
2	How you prioritize School Without Walls,
3	how you prioritize parents and friends. That's why we
4	picked that approach, to have a cap on it and allow us
5	the flexibility within that cap.
6	If you'd like us to take that further and
7	say, "Okay. You know, of the new additional members,
8	tell us which categories are more important," we'd
9	have to give that some thought.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, I mean, just in
11	some of the things that you've been saying tonight, I
12	mean, when we hear just broad, you know, "the
13	community," I didn't automatically think of School
14	Without Walls. I didn't think of some of the
15	buildings that maybe have elderly residents.
16	So if you could delineate, look, these are
17	some community groups that we might want to open
18	membership to because it's very important.
19	MR. BARBER: Okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I mean, we need some
21	guidance from you.
22	MR. BARBER: All right. We'll be happy to
23	give you that.
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Anyone
25	else have any other questions?

1	Ms. Elliott, cross examination?
2	MS. ELLIOTT: I just have one question.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Can Mr. Vecchione
4	make room there?
5	MS. ELLIOTT: I guess this would be
6	directed to Mr. Barber.
7	You said that you're charging for these
8	memberships, the non-university memberships. How
9	would the university handle that? How would they be
10	classified?
11	They would be profits, in other words,
12	from Health and Welfare Center. How would they be
13	classified and how would you handle those?
14	MR. BARBER: I'm sorry. I'm not following
15	the question.
16	MS. ELLIOTT: You're asking for a fee for
17	members.
18	MR. BARBER: Yes.
19	MS. ELLIOTT: And how would the university
20	treat that fee? It's not a university use. That
21	would be a community use, and it would be the
22	equivalent of a commercial membership in a gym.
23	MR. MOORE: No, no, it wouldn't. I'm
24	sorry. The fee that the university would charge would
25	be directed towards the same places that the fees that

1	the university charges its students and others who
2	currently have the ability to use it.
3	MS. ELLIOTT: Right, but these are non-
4	students. They're not part of the university. They
5	would be outside people. They would be
6	MR. MOORE: Well, by that same token, Ms.
7	Elliott, not to be argumentative because I know the
8	Board has no time for that, the university is open,
9	has opened its hospital, has opened its bookstore, has
LO	opened its eating facilities within the Marvin Center
L1	to anyone who wants to come in there, all in
L2	furtherance of the university's mission.
L3	And there's a fee charged for each one of
L4	those visits. So to the extent that the university is
L5	a large institution, it's a university that has many
L6	different attributes to it that contribute to the
L7	mission of the university. This would just be
L8	another, no different from the hospital or the
L9	bookstore or the
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I think she' just
21	asking does this do anything to your tax status
22	MR. MOORE: Oh, no.
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: in order to
24	collect fees like this from outside people.
25	MR MOORE: No no

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Is that the gist of
2	it?
3	MR. BARBER: For tax purposes, it's
4	treated the same, connected to the university's
5	mission. It doesn't make it a taxable property. It
6	doesn't unrelated business income, those kind of
7	concepts do not apply.
8	MS. ELLIOTT: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you.
10	MR. BARBER: Thank you.
11	MR. MOORE: I'm going to stay here, Ms.
12	Mitten. You have the Office of Planning report and
13	the Department of Transportation report.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. I forgot
15	what came next.
16	(Laughter.)
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Fondersmith or
18	Ms. McCarthy, whenever you're ready.
19	MR. FONDERSMITH: Thank you, Madame
20	Chairman and members of the Commission.
21	I'm John Fondersmith, Development Review
22	Specialist in the Office of Planning, and with me here
23	is Ellen McCarthy, the Deputy Director for Development
24	Review and Preservation.
25	You have our report. In brief, our

summary recommendation is that condition one of the BZA order be modified to be revised to offer use of Wellness Center to residents of Foggy Bottom, West End, and that the Commission consider membership for students, faculty, and staff from the Mount Vernon campus, subject to requirement for augmented university transit service if necessary.

And we suggested revising condition two to allow the Wellness Center to remain open later, until 11:30 on all days.

and the site and area description. You have our map, and it's on display here. It gives you an idea of the location of the wellness center in relationship to other university facilities, including the two adjacent dorms that are under construction, and the Wellness Center there, the dorm here, and across the street in front of the Smith Center, almost 1,000 new beds coming on line there in a little over a year, just less than a year on the one.

And we point out, of course, that the Wellness Center is there. It's there as a building, and what we're dealing with here is really revising the condition.

And we did suggest that you take note of

2.0

the squash, moving the squash intercollegiate to the Wellness Center and their proposed opening, which is one of the reports. I don't think it's been mentioned here today of opening at 6:00 a.m. instead of the present 7:00 a.m. in the morning.

Both of those are things that are mentioned in the consultant's reports, and in our view are allowed in terms of the existing BZA order.

And we go over some main points, and we would say that we've approached the evaluation within a certain framework, and that is that while we understand the university's desire to undertake different tasks in terms of the university community as they define it, we believe that neighborhood impacts are the key concern.

Two, that students should have priority in use of the Wellness Center.

And, three, we do think it's appropriate for the university to reach out to residents in terms of membership, assuming that this can be done without impinging on student use and without creating adverse impacts on the neighborhood.

We did talk about the utilization factor, and I think that's already been discussed some here, that while the theoretical number from the Fire

2.0

Marshal's rating appears low utilization, the Brailsford report indicates that a utilization rate of between 15 to 25 percent is not uncommon for similar facilities.

So while there may be some under utilization now, perhaps it is not as much as might be at first perceived.

And, in addition, considering the addition of the dormitories adjacent to the facility, we think that might increase utilization.

We're somewhat concerned that adding too many new classes of users would bring a peak of use at the same time that students are at their peak use of the facilities, and it would kind of appear that the exercise equipment, cardiovascular equipment is probably the area of the Wellness Center where you would get a peaking kind of thing.

Considering that all students on the Foggy Bottom campus are now assessed a fee for the Wellness Center, it appears, although it's a little hard to tell exactly from the data, that the utilization rate among students overall is not too high, and we think that the university could look at ways to increase that utilization.

We mentioned the concern about turning

NEAL R. GROSS

2.0

this into a semi-commercial facility. I think that's already been mentioned here by others.

And then we do discuss a little bit more the Mount Vernon campus, and of course, there are some members of the Mount Vernon student body that use the Foggy Bottom, take classes at Foggy Bottom at the present time.

Now, in terms of membership for the community residents, the outline for the Foggy Bottom West End area had been outlined by the office in the previous campus plan case, although we note that we used the E Street on the south as the boundary there. Apparently the Constitution Avenue boundary that's been suggested here is by the university wanting to include the State Department and other government buildings to the south where potential users could be drawn from, which we are not supporting.

We're supporting allowing residents of the area to use it, but not people just employed in the area.

I should note that we had earlier or about a year ago, we had some conversations and correspondence with Ms. Kriegel on behalf of a group of senior citizen group that wanted to use this facility, but couldn't because of the restriction.

And actually she's here tonight. We just met a little earlier.

And we had indicated at that time in a very informal sense that we thought that there could be some way to adjust the requirement to allow residents in the area to use the Wellness Center.

This, of course, in what the university has proposed is a larger version of that.

In terms of the extended hours, we thought that some extended time could be accommodated, but we were, frankly, concerned about keeping the facility open too late, that is, to the 1:00 a.m. time. So the 1:30 time is kind of allowing more time for students at night, the intramural use and so on, but not going that much, that late as has been proposed.

And then we do mention, again, the business about opening in the morning, which is something that is not really covered by the BZA order, and the squash team -- the BZA order is worded perhaps in an unusual way, but it's dealing with spectator space and on use of the facility for spectator events.

And when you look at the space for the Wellness Center for the square courts, they're really not set up, as the university has said, they're not set up as spectator events. So we did not object to

2.0

that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So I think that in summary, you have our recommendation that the membership be open to residents and consider the Mount Vernon campus users; allow the facility to stay open longer; emphasize the use for G.W. students; and ask the university to encourage increased student usage; and then take note of the other conditions.

MS. McCARTHY: Right, and, Madame Chair and members of the Commission, for the record, my name is Ellen McCarthy.

I just wanted to add a few words of context about the Office of Planning's recommendation because I'm not sure maybe the full tone in which we were making those recommendations was communicated in the report.

Our major desire in making the recommendation to enlarge the usage of the facility community members was strictly based Ms. Kriegel's situation and our sense that, given the concerns that we all have heard from the residents over the years and the adverse impacts of George Washington's presence in the neighborhood, that this was a service that could perhaps be useful and could benefit to residents of the neighborhood, be

although we were and still continue to be a little concerned about the enforceability once that is opened up to residents who live within that area.

And we certainly would further reinforce with Mr. Fondersmith said. What is defined by the university as a community members' area, we certainly do not see no matter how late or how many hours people are working at the State Department for that to be a community member in any sense. We are speaking only about people who live in the neighborhood.

And given that that was our primary desire, we want to stress our recommendation is not based at all on any sense that it is important for the Board to increase the utilization of this facility. We think it's extremely important that the conditions that are placed on further processing of buildings be considered as serious conditions.

It's disturbing when Mr. Brailsford says that the facility was designed for a much higher capacity because the facility was constructed after this order was issued, when it was very clear what the conditions were that were being placed on the number of people that were eligible for membership in that facility.

So needing to increase utilization in

2.0

order to utilize the facilities fully is not something that we would consider to be a worthy goal.

We also are concerned because as the two hearings on the Health and Wellness Center pointed out, this facility is located on the fringe of the campus immediately adjacent to residential areas, and it was acknowledged that in the campus plan that was in effect when this facility was constructed, that low impact uses were to be located there.

So the possibility of late night usage, of increased parking demand on the streets immediately outside the facility, particularly during the same hours that residents are coming home and looking to find on street parking we find to be potentially a serious problem in terms of adverse impacts.

We note that unfortunately the Department of Transportation did not address the parking capacity in much detail in their report, and the 140 spaces which are located in this facility, it's important to note, were spaces that were placed in there to replace surface parking spaces that were eliminated for the construction of this facility and others around there. It is not 140 spaces that were placed in there for the utilization of anybody that was thinking to use the Health and Wellness Center. Those were already

2.0

1 programmed to meet the university's overall parking needs. 2 And I guess lastly, to further emphasize 3 what Mr. Fondersmith said, based on the university's 4 5 own numbers of Brailsford and Dunlevy's own numbers, the utilization is currently 12 percent comparing to 6 7 15 to 25 percent for typical other facilities, and 8 that is for a facility that's been open less than a 9 year, where 1,000 new beds basically are going to be 10 constructed immediately across the street. 11 So we think it would be severely premature 12 for the Commission to open the usage of this facility 13 to any other than people who live in the immediate 14 neighborhood and are not likely to be driving, are not 15 likely to be parking, and not likely to be having wild conversations after successful intramural games 16 11:30 or 12 o'clock at night. 17 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank Ms. you, 2.0 McCarthy and Mr. Fondersmith. 21 Any questions from the Commission? Mr. Hood. 22 23 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I just wanted to do we know whether or not 24 ask OP: the State 25 Department has their own wellness center or facility

1	they have internal that they use?
2	MS. McCARTHY: When I was a GS-3 clerk-
3	typist working there for the summer in college, I
4	don't remember any recreational facilities open to GS-
5	3 clerk-typists, but
6	(Laughter.)
7	MS. McCARTHY: that certainly does not
8	mean that there weren't any in the building.
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I know most of
LO	your government facilities now have them. I just was
11	wondering if the State Department had them.
12	Thank you.
13	PARTICIPANT: I worked there until two
14	years ago.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We can't take
L6	testimony just from the general audience. Thanks.
17	Okay. Any other questions? Mr. Moore.
18	MR. MOORE: Yes, I have a couple.
19	First of all, I've got to be careful here
20	because what we have is a glass half full. The Office
21	of Planning has supported the university in some of
22	the requests that it has made, but not others, and we,
23	of course, would take issue with the Office of
24	Planning's findings
25	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Just ask the

1	question. Okay?
2	MR. MOORE: Thank you.
3	First of all, Ms. Mitten, I'd like the
4	opportunity to respond in writing to the comments that
5	Ms. McCarthy has just made. She goes considerably
6	beyond the recommendation and points that were made in
7	the Office of Planning's report.
8	I came here today able to respond to the
9	Office of Planning's written report, but she has gone
10	beyond that substantially, and I'd like the
11	opportunity respond.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Certainly.
13	MR. MOORE: Thank you.
14	First of all, I guess I should direct my
15	questions to Mr. Fondersmith.
16	You indicated that the students should
17	have a priority use of the center. In 1998, Mr.
18	Fondersmith, the university presented its case to
19	construct and to use the Health and Wellness Center
20	for the university population, and at that time the
21	university did not have any intention of restricting
22	the use to students. It was going to be to the entire
23	university population.
24	What caused you to change your mind?
25	MR. FONDERSMITH: Well, I think the

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Fondersmith,
2	could you turn on your mic for us?
3	MR. FONDERSMITH: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
5	MR. FONDERSMITH: I mean, despite, you
6	know, whatever was proposed, discussed at that time,
7	the BZA did come to a decision on limiting the use.
8	Now, it's not just the students. As you
9	know, it's the students, the faculty and staff. But
10	as we understand it, in the kind of academic
11	experience, the priority here, we believe we
12	understand and from our perspective, should be the
13	students; that this is part of their educational
14	experience, and the problem at least that appears to
15	us is that the peak times and perhaps for the same
16	facilities adding new users from some of your
17	classes will peak at the same time when the students
18	want to use it. That's our concern.
19	MR. MOORE: Respectfully, shouldn't the
20	university have the right to determine who the user
21	should be? And isn't the zoning context numbers and
22	not classes of users? Isn't that the appropriate
23	regulatory tool?
24	MR. FONDERSMITH: The zoning context is
25	looking at how this fits into the campus plan, and
1	

specially how it relates to or doesn't relate to the community.

And so I think it's appropriate without certainly getting into details on how the facilities run to be concerned with the impact of the facility.

MR. MOORE: You mentioned --

MR. FONDERSMITH: And the priority of the students.

MS. McCARTHY: Well, besides that, Mr. Moore, I think it also goes to the problem that the university was citing in terms of utilization, that if the problem is a low utilization and yet you've got, as appeared to be from Mr. Brailsford's testimony and Mr. Vecchione, that one of the key reasons for increasing membership is to utilize the cardiovascular room and that kind of fitness equipment. That's not a demand that can be easily expanded, as all of us who have been in health clubs waiting for our turn on the Stairmaster or whatever know.

So it did not appear that that full peak, which is very dramatic and certainly coincides with the student peak was likely to be only in people playing doubles or swimming in the swimming pool, that there was likely to be some over supply in terms of utilization.

2.0

89
I guess it was a rationale along the lines
of Mr. May's question, which was your theoretical
capacity is your theoretical capability, but if ten
guys are playing basketball, those are the only ten
men or women that can be on that court at any period
of time.
So I think our concerns about student
utilization were more directed at the university's

claim that it needed this increase in order increase utilization of the facility, and yet you were talking about bringing in members whose utilization would come -- peak utilization would come -- just at the point in time in which the facility already has its peak utilization from its existing users.

MR. MOORE: Mr. Fondersmith, you also indicated that the Office of Planning believes that the proposed changes would turn a student facility into a semi-commercial facility. That's not right, is it?

This semi-commercial facility, what distinguishes the Health and Wellness Center from the hospital, from University Bookstore, from the eating facilities and dining facilities in the university?

Well, we thought it MR. FONDERSMITH: does. There are a number of --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 MR. MOORE: How so? How is it different? How is it --2 3 Why don't you let him CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: 4 finish answering? And then you can ask another 5 question. Okay? There are a number of 6 MR. FONDERSMITH: 7 fitness centers, gyms, and so on in the area that are 8 commercial facilities, and if you broaden the class of 9 users so much, and as we said in the report, this is a 10 very good facility. I mean, it was built for this. 11 It's large. 12 And at some point you're really pulling in 13 other people. In our view, you're turning what is 14 supposed to be primarily a student university facility 15 and geared first to students; you're turning it into a much larger kind of thing. That's why we said kind of 16 a semi-commercial facility. 17 18 The advantage we saw of opening it to 19 residents in the area was that at least to some extent 2.0 -- first of all, they almost all -- I mean, they live within walking distance. That's not to say that some 21 of them might not drive, but almost all of them would 22 23 walk to it. 24 And there's at least the possibility of 25 having the muse it at off peak hours, that is, in the

1 morning or in the afternoon when the student usage is not the fullest. 2 3 That doesn't appear to be the case with 4 pulling in people that are employed in the area and 5 getting the peaks at lunch hour and after work. MR. MOORE: Thank you. 6 7 MR. FONDERSMITH: So that's what --8 MR. MOORE: Thank you. 9 Lastly, because I know the hour is getting 10 late, you indicated that the new dormitories on Square 11 43 and 57 would increase the utilization of the Health 12 and Wellness Center amongst students. The first 13 question is, one: how do you know that? MR. FONDERSMITH: I don't think we -- we 14 15 certainly don't know for sure, and I think we said 16 that we thought that there was a -- let me see just what we said. I think we -- oh, where is that? 17 18 Yeah, we said this increase in students 19 living directly adjacent -- this is on page 7 --2.0 living directly adjacent to the Wellness Center should increase utilization. 21 22 I mean, we can't say for sure that it 23 will, but when you look at -- when you go down there and stand at the door and the new dorm with 710 beds 24 25 is right across the street and diagonally across the

1	street are the new townhouse dorms with 250 beds, it
2	would appear that that proximity may encourage greater
3	utilization of the Wellness Center.
4	MR. MOORE: All right, and if it does,
5	would it because they're located across the street,
6	would you agree that it will have zero impact on
7	parking and transportation?
8	MR. FONDERSMITH: Well, it should have
9	limited I mean, assuming those students walk across
10	the street, and I would hope they would walk across
11	the street and not drive. It should have limited
12	impact.
13	MR. MOORE: All right. Those are all of
14	the questions I had. I'll address the rest of the
15	concerns I had in writing.
16	Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
18	Ms. Elliott, any questions for the Office
19	of Planning?
20	MS. ELLIOTT: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Thank
22	you.
23	Is there anyone here from DDOT? We have a
24	report that was submitted from DDOT. Is there anyone
25	here?
	1

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. We have a
3	request from DDOT to waive our rules. The report came
4	in I think it's a little bit late, not excessively
5	late.
6	Any objection from the commission to
7	accepting the report from the Department of
8	Transportation?
9	You have a copy of this, don't you, Mr.
10	Moore?
11	MR. MOORE: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Ms. Elliott,
13	do you have a copy of the DDOT memorandum?
14	MS. ELLIOTT: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Well,
16	make sure that she gets a copy.
17	All right. We're ready for the report of
18	the ANC.
19	MR. MOORE: Ms. Mitten, I would ask the
20	opportunity to respond to the DDOT report as well, in
21	writing.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Certainly.
23	MR. MOORE: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And I believe that
25	you've asked for our waiver, too, because your report

1 little bit late, and Ι think was circumstance that you described where you didn't get 2 3 served on time, I don't think we have a problem with 4 that. 5 I said, you'll have additional And as opportunity if there's anything that you feel that you 6 7 feel you need to follow up on. 8 MS. ELLIOTT: That would be great. 9 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. 10 MS. ELLIOTT: Okay. Again, I'm Elizabeth 11 Elliott, Chair of ANC-2A. 12 Madame Chair and members of the 13 Commission, thank you for considering the response to George Washington University's request and 14 15 presentation this evening. 16 ANC would like to incorporate reference the testimony and letters -- the letters and 17 18 testimony at this hearing of the Foggy 19 Association and also the testimony of Mr. Jim Bunnell, 20 representing the Sports Club LA here this evening. 21 Please keep in mind that the Foggy Bottom 22 Neighborhood Commission is a group elected by the 23 majority of our constituents and carrying purported 24 great weight in its recommendations to D.C. agencies. 25 The ANC is an unpaid volunteer group with limited

human and financial resources, and we're always working from a position of weakness in relation to the numerous wealthy and powerful nonprofit organizations, particularly George Washington University, located throughout our neighborhood.

We are appearing today without benefit of counsel.

Because we are usually at a great disadvantage in these proceedings, the ANC relies particularly on the Zoning Commission and the Board of Zoning Adjustment to exercise their authority as they are charged under the D.C. Municipal Regs., the Comprehensive Plan, and the G.W. Campus Plan, to protect and enhance the neighborhoods.

We especially rely on the Zoning Commission and the BZA regarding universities which are not located or allowed to develop by right in the midst of our residentially zoned community, but are subject to the special exception process under the campus plan umbrella.

After rejecting GWU's original application and an appeal of that decision, the BZA finally approved the Health and Wellness Center on January 8th, 1997. The BZA clearly based much of its approval of this building's location on the university's

repeated testimony in al three appearances regarding the intended low intensity use of this facility in an area that was also available for student residential housing, a use the community and the ANC would have preferred at least in part on this site under the G.W. Campus Plan.

And I cited in here in the BZA order the finding of fact number two. The anticipated use is not a major use. High usage buildings are specifically listed in the campus plan and this is not one of them.

In all three hearings, the BZA ultimately ignored the ANC's testimony and contention that the university presented no evidence justifying a facility of this size and scope with its potential for intensive use, particularly in this sensitive location away from the campus core.

The ANC's position has been borne out by the university's own consultant study, demonstrating that a very small percentage of the university's own audience for whom this facility was purportedly built of 17,000-plus students, 1,200-plus faculty, and 9,000-plus staff are using the facility.

G.W. is contradicting its own representations upon which the Health and Wellness

2.0

Center application was approved, now claiming that the intensity of use of the center is too low and the university needs to change, that is, increase, the number of users of the facilities and extend the operating hours at the facility to increase the use.

In other words, to solve its self-induced problem of over building this facility, the university needs to inflict a potential objectionable effect, high intensity use, excess traffic, commercial use, et cetera, on its host community and pass it off as an amenity to the community, membership, no doubt with a fee, access to the Health and Wellness Center for certain Foggy Bottom West End residents.

Even though the university is appearing here today to request changes to the conditions of BZA Order No. 16276, in fact, the conditions in this order have no impact on nor relationship to the university's inability to market this facility to its own captive audience.

If the university's stated intent here is to increase the intensity of use, it needs to, as OP points out repeated, market to its own BZA approved audience of nearly 30,000 potential users. Instead, as if this is not a large enough potential user base, the university is now proposing inclusion of among

thousands more potential users the G.W.'s Mount Vernon campus faculty, staff, and students, an entirely separate entity with its own campus plan subject to zoning review.

Maybe we've misunderstood this, but incredibly OP seems to be supporting this scheme to completely usurp the campus planning process by bypassing review of either the G.W. Campus Plan or the G.W. Mount Vernon college plan, and to consider both campuses as one.

I have an article to give you talking about -- that's from the "By George," from the November 16th university publication, alluding to the currently popular thinking by G.W. officials of a boundaryless campus, including all of G.W.'s holdings.

The addition of the potential nuisance of more traffic, people, and shuttlebuses from Mount Vernon running in and out of this already overburdened neighborhood seven days a week almost pales in comparison to this outrageous attempt by G.W. to subvert the campus planning process.

A decision of this scope, particularly including another college campus in a separate neighborhood, requires additional public input and hearings.

99 Additionally, the university is inappropriately merging the facility's activities with those of the Smith Center and consequently blurring the distinction between the two facilities, despite the fact that the BZA order in this case precludes the Health and Wellness Center's use as an intercollegiate sports facility. And I've again referenced the summary of evidence number three and excerpt.

Intercollegiate athletics will not be a part of programming, and space will not be used for any spectator events.

G.W. has simply announced in its filing that it has already moved the apparently newly created men's and women's intercollegiate squash teams to the facility, a violation of this order.

In another announcement, G.W. has stated in its application that it will now be opening the Health and Wellness facility beginning at 6:00 a.m., despite evidence in its consultant report that there is little or no use of the facility until later in the morning.

The ANC is not opposed to the concept of non-university community residents being able to take advantage of a facility like the Health and Wellness Center, as some residents formerly were able to do at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

the Smith Center. Unfortunately, the issue is not that simple.

As the ANC pointed out in its resolution modifying the membership categories to include non-university parties can be construed as a violation of the District of Columbia Human Rights Act and will expose not only the university, but also the city and the Zoning Commission to legal action from any excluded party under the act.

ANC-2A believes that such legal action will result in the opening of the Health and Wellness Center to a universal public creating a quasi-commercial facility not applied for in G.W.'s original application and with little, if any, connection to G.W.'s educational mission.

The use of this building primarily as an exercise facility, a kind of G.W. Gold's Gym, located far from the campus core and a block away from the national landmark low density Foggy Bottom Historic District, and abutting some of the last non-university owned or controlled residential housing in ANC-2A and open to the general public is unacceptable.

Additionally, G.W.'s charging of membership fees for any users other than the currently BZA approved users also raises a host of legal issues

2.0

regarding a tax exempt nonprofit organization making profits in a quasi-commercial facility, as well as engaging in unfair competition, for example, for alumni, patronage from G.W. State Department employees, et cetera, with the numerous not-forprofit, tax paying exercise centers located not only in ANC-2A, but also throughout the District Columbia.

The ANC would like to further emphasize this case as yet another example of how G.W. is exploiting the incredibly problematic bifurcation of the over arching Campus Plan currently governed by the BZA until at least 2009 from the special exception process now transferred to the auspices of the Zoning Commission.

G.W. continues to manipulate loopholes in BZA orders bypassing the governing campus plan and the comprehensive plan. During the campus plan remand hearings in the fall of 2001, the ANC presented unrefuted evidence from the OPA SNAP (phonetic) planning process and the 2000 U.S. census that the Foggy Bottom Advisory Neighborhood Commission area with a population count of 11,900-plus, which the ANC believes is under estimated, and a median age of 25 years old is now populated by a large majority of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

students both inside and outside G.W.'s campus plan boundary.

There is a tremendous amount of lipservice being given to the ANC about preserving and protecting our established residential neighborhood. We have reported to the Commission that more and more of the Foggy Bottom West End community, including our public space, is being swallowed up and converted into nonprofit institutional use and university use either de facto or de jure.

And the Foggy Bottom West End residential population is currently dominated by transient, primarily non-tax paying students.

It is clear that the D.C. municipal regulations guiding the special exception review process, G.W. Campus Plan, and the Comprehensive Plan are failing to protect our long-term tax paying residential neighborhood and are eliminating the city's much needed tax base in Foggy Bottom West End.

In particular, the G.W. Campus Plan is the mirage that the university's administration is even now planning to circumvent with the potential switch to a trimester system and an increase to 9,000 of G.W.'s undergraduate population. And I have a handout that I'll pass out to you in just a moment on that

topic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

ANC-2A believes that the Zoning Commission's approval of any change to either of the BZA's conditions in its final order approving Health and Wellness Center would, in fact, be tantamount to its approval of a change in use from the original order and would be grounds for reopening the original BZA special exception Application No. 16276 to further hearings, as well as the BZA approved G.W. Campus Plan 16553.

ANC-2A is satisfied that the conditions created by the BZA in this order limiting the categories of users and limiting the hours of operation clearly working are to prevent the university from creating any further objectionable effects, including increased traffic, noise, and too intense use, for example with this facility that would impact negatively on the Foggy Bottom host community and its for profit exercise businesses.

ANC-2A contends that this should not be reconsidered, changed or altered in any way other than to eliminate the loopholes, for example, the 6:00 a.m. opening and the use as an intercollegiate facility that subvert the order's intent.

The Home Rule Charter for the District

NEAL R. GROSS

1	gave ANCs the power to represent local residents in
2	expressing community concerns before District agencies
3	and decreed that the concerns expressed by the ANC be
4	given great weight and that a written rationale be
5	given should the agency take a position different from
6	that of the ANC.
7	We believe the preponderance of the
8	evidence supports the ANC-2As request that the Zoning
9	Commission deny G.W.'s application to alter the
10	conditions placed on the Lerner Health and Wellness
11	Center regarding membership and hours of operation.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Ms.
14	Elliott.
15	Can you just explain what it is that you
16	think? I mean you said you're somewhat sympathetic to
17	allowing some community use of the facility, but you
18	think that that's going to result in a violation of
19	the Human Rights Act. Can you explain that a little
20	bit more?
21	MS. ELLIOTT: Well, the Human Rights Act,
22	there is a provision. I believe it's in the public
23	if something is considered a public facility, that you
24	cannot open it up to one group and discriminate
25	against another group.

	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Call you provide that
2	language to us?
3	MS. ELLIOTT: Yeah. There is apparently a
4	new version of the Human Rights Act, and I'd be more
5	than happy to submit that.
6	I spoke with somebody on the Human Rights
7	Commission about this within the last week, and there
8	is that concern.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Any questions
10	from the Commission? Mr. Hood?
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I just wanted to
12	ask Ms. Elliott. I believe this is in single member
13	District 2A-04.
14	MS. ELLIOTT: Until January 1st, I
15	believe.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay.
17	MS. ELLIOTT: I think it will switch
18	after, yeah. I think everything runs
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So it's moving.
20	MS. ELLIOTT: Yeah.
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I believe in
22	seeing in the file we have some correspondence from a
23	former Commissioner who has explained his SND. I
24	guess the folks he presents at some time, which
25	obviously has been some time ago, was favorable to

	exactly what's being proposed here by George
2	Washington.
3	What has been your experience from the
4	community, from the majority of the folks who live in,
5	I guess, single member district 2A-04?
6	MS. ELLIOTT: Well, I believe there will
7	be some members from that district testifying here
8	tonight through the Foggy Bottom Association.
9	We understood that it was this
10	Commissioner's desire to have the Health and Wellness
11	Center open, but I don't know whether or not he was
12	representative of his constituents.
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
14	MS. ELLIOTT: He also wasn't an elected
15	Commissioner.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right.
17	MS. ELLIOTT: He was fulfilling he was
18	appointed and was fulfilling
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: But I know also
20	since this Commission is vacant, I know the Chair
21	usually represents that area, usually takes the piece.
22	So I wanted to get a feel for what you were hearing.
23	MS. ELLIOTT: Well, I think the
24	Commission, they're torn just like the ANC is, that we
25	would like to see it open to, for example, Ms. Kriegel
l	I and the second

1	and her group, but we're concerned about the
2	implications of that.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me ask you
4	this way, Ms. Elliott, because I'm looking for an
5	answer.
6	MS. ELLIOTT: Well, we're very sorry.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Does this vote by
8	the ANC reflect what you've heard from the
9	constituents, especially in ANC-2A-04?
10	MS. ELLIOTT: Yes.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. May?
13	MR. MAY: May I follow up on that?
14	We have gotten some letters in this
15	regard, particularly with regard to community use,
16	resident community use, and they are running very
17	highly in favor of expanding this. My count is 14 to
18	one, but that's just on the papers that are in front
19	of me.
20	So it's somewhat surprising to hear that
21	this vote, which was unanimous against any kind of
22	expansion, would reflect that.
23	Now, you said yourself that the ANC is not
24	opposed to expanding to community use. Is that an
25	unqualified community use or for selected groups or

what does that really mean?

2.0

MS. ELLIOTT: Well, I think, again, it's problematic because we have paid businesses. We have for profit businesses that provide these same kind of services that can't complete with G.W. because their prices are much higher.

And so we represent them also. I mean they are located in our ANC, and they provide money to the city and provide money to the tax base, and as I said, you will hear from a representative of one of those businesses tonight.

And, again, for a group like Ms. Kriegel's it's directly in front of the center, and people that are there, I mean, we would want to support that but, again, it's problematic because we don't know what the implications of that are in terms of opening this up to the general public

And also, in the original hearing on this, there was tremendous community support also. There were many, many letters of people wanting. So that hasn't changed, and I think --

MR. MAY: So there still are a lot of people who want this to be open to the community if the right conditions could be established.

MS. ELLIOTT: Right, but I think there's a

NEAL R. GROSS

1	big problem with this.
2	MR. MAY: Okay. Are there any groups
3	within the proposed expanded membership that the ANC
4	would not find objectionable? I mean, for example,
5	family members of students, is that a problem for the
6	neighborhood?
7	MS. ELLIOTT: I don't we haven't
8	considered that. We looked at the groups that it's
9	open to now, and assumed that that was part of it. I
10	can't sit here and tell because we haven't
11	discussed that, in particular. We discussed simply
12	that it was the university faculty staff, et cetera.
13	I mean, again, if there was some way that
14	that could be worked around that they wouldn't be
15	competing with existing businesses, and they wouldn't
16	be basically opening us up to some sort of legal
17	challenge.
18	MR. MAY: Right. I wouldn't worry so much
19	about the legal challenge as the people who are
20	setting the policy are the ones that are going to be
21	facing that. I mean, if there is a case for this, you
22	know, as a result of, say, expanding just the family
23	use, G.W. could I'm sure would gladly take that on.
24	I guess it would be helpful to understand

since there is such a mixed feeling whether there are

certain groups that the ANC or the community would not find objectionable because it seems to me that there are some pretty low impact editions to the current membership that could be made. I mean low impact in the sense that it's not going to be -- it's not likely to be a huge impact on the neighborhood if family member of students start coming because the sort of circumstances are, you know, when somebody is in town visiting their child who's going to school or, you know, even if it's from across town or whatever. You're not going to get a lot of people coming in on guest memberships. It's just not a profitable or a reasonable way for people to sort of get access to that kind of facility.

So it would just be nice to know more about the particulars. You know, one of the things that I'm concerned about is when you throw in things like workers from the neighborhood, that throws the doors open to a whole different class of people which I would think that the neighborhood would find totally objectionable.

And is it because of that condition that the community has such mixed feelings? I mean, if that was not in the mix at this moment, would the neighborhood be much more amenable to this?

2.0

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Can I just jump in
2	and say I asked Mr. Barber to give us sort of a list
3	of these different, you know, possible classes, like
4	family members or just general guest memberships or,
5	you know, a number of sort of cuts at this large group
6	that they're proposing. So maybe after he does that
7	we could ask the ANC to take a look at that and, say,
8	weigh in and say, you know, there actually are maybe
9	a couple of groups like family members that really
10	wouldn't be a problem.
11	And then you know, that might get us just
12	a little bit closer to an amicable solution if there
13	is one that's achievable. So if we ask you to do
14	that?
15	MS. ELLIOTT: The university is creative,
16	and maybe they can find a way to creatively wrap the
17	family members into some kind of university
18	attendance. That would be my quasi-students.
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, if you would at
20	least review the list and give us any input on the
21	list that Mr. Barber provides, it would be helpful to
22	the Commission.
23	MS. ELLIOTT: All right.
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Did you have anything
25	else, Mr. May?

1	MR. MAY: No, I think you've found a way
2	to answer my question.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hood?
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Madame Chair, I
6	just wanted to add I know you asked for a submittal on
7	this human rights issue. I would like to see us also
8	ask for an opinion from our Corporation Counsel on our
9	own on that issue.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We'll pass that
11	along.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, not tonight
13	obviously.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right.
14 15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right. (Laughter.)
15	(Laughter.)
15 16	(Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's a good
15 16 17	(Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's a good request.
15 16 17 18	(Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's a good request. Mr. Parsons, did you have anything?
15 16 17 18	(Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's a good request. Mr. Parsons, did you have anything? All right. Mr. Moore, did you have any
15 16 17 18 19 20	(Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's a good request. Mr. Parsons, did you have anything? All right. Mr. Moore, did you have any cross examination?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's a good request. Mr. Parsons, did you have anything? All right. Mr. Moore, did you have any cross examination? All right. Ms. Elliott, thank you.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's a good request. Mr. Parsons, did you have anything? All right. Mr. Moore, did you have any cross examination? All right. Ms. Elliott, thank you. MS. ELLIOTT: Thank you.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's a good request. Mr. Parsons, did you have anything? All right. Mr. Moore, did you have any cross examination? All right. Ms. Elliott, thank you. MS. ELLIOTT: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And are you going to

1	MS. ELLIOTT: Sure thing.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I think it was
3	more expansive than the resolution?
4	Okay. We're ready for persons in support.
5	I have the witness list, and we'll work off of that,
6	and then if anyone neglected to sign up, we'll ask for
7	that.
8	And as you come up, give your witness
9	cards to the reporter as you're called forward. We'll
10	get a panel of four. We have four microphones.
11	Anthony Rizzuto.
12	Eva Neterowicz. I'm sure I got that
13	wrong.
14	Donald Lincoln.
15	And David Lehrman.
16	And each of these folks is testifying as
17	an individual. So you'll have three minutes.
18	And, Mr. Rizzuto, whenever you're ready.
19	MR. RIZZUTO: Thank you, Madame Chair.
20	Good evening, honorable body. My name is
21	Anthony Rizzuto. I am an income taxpaying resident of
22	Washington, D.C. I live at 2450 Virginia Avenue,
23	N.W., Columbia Plaza, the Envoy Building, Apartment E-
24	104, which is diagonally across from the Health and
25	Wellness Center, across 24th and G and then Virginia.

1	I just wanted to come to you today to
2	represent a more balanced and centered type of opinion
3	of the community.
4	I believe it angers me also I should
5	mention that I'm a G.W. alum., May 2000, a young
6	alum., and I am an active member in the community. I
7	served on the Columbia Plaza Tenants Association for a
8	year. I was an elected Board of Director there. I
9	finished my term in September.
LO	I need to be clear because I'm sure people
L1	here in the audience will be very upset with me that
L2	I'm not speaking as a Columbia Plaza Tenants
L3	Association Board of Director. I'm speaking as an
L4	individual.
L5	I first want to mention to Mr. Fondersmith
L6	in the Office of Planning that I really commend them
L7	in their service here because it seems like they've
L8	taken the issue. G.W. is all the way over here. The
L9	ANC and other organizations that purportedly represent
20	our community, like the Foggy Bottom Association, are
21	all the way over there.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Watch those elbows.
23	You've got somebody sitting
24	MR. RIZZUTO: I'm sorry.
25	(Laughter.)

MS. RIZZUTO: And the Office of Planning seems to be more centered.

Now, it's a simple -- it's just very simple. This is a very good -- it's a state-of-the- art facility, and it's an amenity to the community, an excellent amenity to the community.

I'll touch on two issues very quickly that opponents of this plan have, and then I also want to maybe share some other things if I have enough time. But, the tax issue, with the private for-profit health clubs, other than the Watergate Hotel Health Club, there's no other health clubs in the area except for the Golden Triangle Business Improvement District, which is about a 20-minute walk for residents up north of the Health and Wellness Center.

Now, I called today, the closest one, Bally's, is at 21st and L Street, and I tried to get the best rate, and basically it averages out for these clubs \$40 a month. GW has guaranteed, and in this you would need to get this to be guaranteed, they've guaranteed the staff rate, which would be \$25 a month, so that would be excellent. The noise issue, it's preexisting traffic patterns, and I do not think that there will be any noise, and I might speak on the opponent's side as well for against issues. Thank

2.0

1	you.
_	, , , ,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Actually, you just had your say right there, okay?

MR. RIZZUTO: Oh, I can't speak on the opponent's side. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: But you can submit something in writing for the record --

MR. RIZZUTO: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: -- if you found that you had additional things to share with us.

I didn't say your name right, so I'll just -- I did? Okay. Please go ahead.

MS. NETEROWICZ: Yes. Good evening. Мy name is Eva Neterowicz. I am long-time resident of Foggy Bottom, having first moved into the neighborhood in the 1980s. I have been a strong supporter of Washington University and the George numerous improvements that have occurred in our community as a result of their active involvement in a large number of improvement projects which have directly benefitted our historic area. Our neighborhood has become far safer, our property values have risen significantly, the cultural and educational expansion of community has been substantially enriched, and due to the new deluxe GW Hospital, our medical care is now

the best in the City. These positive changes have resulted primarily through the quality upgrades offered to the community by George Washington University.

Recently, GW has applied to broaden the usage and increase the hours of operation of the new Health and Wellness Center located in the dead center of our community at 23rd and G Streets. This magnificent facility has been unfairly excluded for use by residents of the neighborhood where it located despite the strong desire of the residents and the wishes of GW to open this Center to the broader community. In fact, George Washington University wishes to make an extraordinary offer to Foggy Bottom residents to charge only \$295 a year for full use of this facility including the pool. This is the same rate that is charged the GW staff.

Membership fees in local, comparable health clubs with pools, such as the Watergate Health Club, the LA Sports Club and the YMCA, are triple or quadruple this rate, depending on the membership, and these facilities are not nearly as modern or as comprehensive as the Health and Wellness Center. To exclude members of the Foggy Bottom community from benefitting and participating in this beautiful

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	facility located in our neighborhood makes no sense.
2	We in the community wish to join, and GW welcomes our
3	membership. Please be so kind as to facilitate our
4	mutual partnership request and approve GW's
5	application to make more efficient and fair use of
6	this beautiful recreational resource.
7	I would like to submit my letter for the
8	record.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Please do. Thank
10	you, Ms. Neterowicz.
11	MS. NETEROWICZ: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Lincoln.
13	MR. LINCOLN: Thank you, Madam Chairman.
14	I'm a relatively new resident of Foggy Bottom. I
15	moved into Watergate East approximately three years
16	ago. I'm a great supporter of the University, and I
17	see the University just going out of its way to be
18	friendly with the Foggy Bottom community. I'm a couch
19	potato myself, but when I heard that there was this
20	possibility of the Center opening up to the residents
21	of Foggy Bottom I immediately decided I'm going to get
22	involved in fitness at my advanced age. But, again,
23	I'm a firm supporter of opening this Wellness Center
24	up to the community. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:

25

And Mr.

Thank you.

Lehrman. Could you turn on your microphone for me?

There you go. And identify yourself for the record.

MR. LEHRMAN: I'm David Lehrman. I'm Commissioner-elect for the Foggy Bottom ANC for the 2A-01 area. I currently reside at the Statesman at 20th and F, and by day I'm a regulatory attorney for the United States Department of Transportation, and this is my first foray into politics. You'll appreciate the fact that I liked running unopposed this last time. And that may be the last bit of unopposition I deal with in this process.

(Laughter.)

opening it up to the people that I represent. My building, the Statesman, as you probably know, is really quite a changing, evolving population. I live among the students, essentially. When I moved there ten years ago, it was 50 working professionals. It's now probably 95 percent students, and the other five percent are a range of some people like myself, retirees, several multiply handicapped young women that come to the area to work and have found that place conducive to their lifestyle.

And I rest my argument in favor of opening it up, at least the choice, to the community in four

2.0

areas. One, obviously it is an economic selling point. The Watergate is \$1,000 a year, the Four Seasons, I think, is over \$2,000, you're offering a similar package at \$295 to people in my area that might very well not even use a health care.

Number improvement of two, town I live among the students, and I can tell you that I certainly have seen the differences when they wake me up at two, three, four and five in the morning, and we need to have a conversation about that because I have to be at my desk in the morning, at the same time, they'll often offer to help me with the groceries if they see I'm struggling with it. think that we should rule out, because we haven't tested it, what happens when you mix a population of working professionals with students. I think it enhances maturity, I think it encourages dialogue, I think you have more of an idea to see what's happening.

And until you actually run this through and see what happens when you change the dynamic in the Wellness Center itself, you won't fully know whether it's going to work or not. You can still always adjust it and amend it once it is or isn't working, but I think you can't rule out the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

possibility that some of the "sky is falling" scenarios that I'm hearing seem a tad exaggerated.

And, finally, I do think that if you have all not had the opportunity to just go and inspect the facility, I'm assuming you have not but perhaps all of you have, I think there's a changed perspective one gets when you actually see it. The students that are there are serious in demeanor, in my observation, and they are tired when they get finished with their workouts, and it's not the same dynamic as when you see leaving a sports events where people really are hopped up.

I heard earlier reference to the sleeping patterns. My observation is actually the party scene starts Thursday night, not really Saturday night, and actually continues straight through until well into Sunday. So I think the University might offer some statistics on actually what's happening with those bars that are being avoided on Thursday night and Friday night and Sunday as well. But I see --

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Can you wrap it up because your time is up?

MR. LEHRMAN: Absolutely. Bottom line is I'm a supporter of the idea of at least offering the choice to the community.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.

MR. LEHRMAN: I thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Let me see if the commissioners have any questions. Any questions for the panel. Let me just ask you, do you have an opinion, you know, the ANC has suggested that they actually are sympathetic to your position of opening it up to the community, but it's these other groups like alumni that may have an impact on traffic and so forth. Any of you have an -- you've all addressed yourselves specifically to opening it up to the community or certain community groups. have any opinion on opening it up to some of these other groups that may generate more traffic and so forth? Let Mr. Lehrman go first.

MR. LEHRMAN: The one thing that I'm struck by is that the traffic and the parking is so awful there that people don't knock themselves out to come out there that easily, and I think that my opinion is that your target population actually is the people who are already there. I really cannot imagine somebody from Chevy Chase deciding that at one in the morning or 12:30 they want to come down to use the GW facilities. In general --

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Maybe that's when

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Τ	they could park.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. LEHRMAN: That's absolutely true.
4	They might get a space, that's true. So, in any case,
5	it's an opinion, but it's
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Mr. Rizzuto,
7	did you want to add something? Could you turn on the
8	mike, please?
9	MR. RIZZUTO: I just wanted to add that I
10	believe that the community residents living right in
11	Foggy Bottom should have first priority. The State
12	Department employees, that's ridiculous, they
13	shouldn't have access to it. Other populations,
14	possibly, but really you need to ensure that it's the
15	community first, and you need to put that in the
16	conditions.
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you.
18	Thank you all. Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Moore, did you
19	have any cross examination? Ms. Elliott, any cross
20	examination? Okay. You're done. Thank you.
21	We have Mr. Bedden? Am I saying that
22	right? What is SWW?
23	MR. BEDDEN: School Without Walls.
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh, yes, I should
25	know that by now. And R is the first initial and then

N-E it looks like D-Y-L, Nedyl. Yes, I think so, I'm sorry, I couldn't read it. If you'd give those cards to the reporter. Anyone else who wants to testify in Ms. Combrinck. Anybody else? Did you fill out witness cards? Okay. We don't have witness Mr. Moore, we're going to need witness cards cards. Don't you fill out some too usually just he can identify you? Ms. Warner, the squash lady. Okay. And Mr. Lehrman. Mr. Vecchione. You're going to need to fill out two witness cards for us and turn them in. All right. I think we're caught up now. Okay. And Was there anyone else who wanted to testify in support? Come forward now and drop off your cards So we'll start with Mr. Bedden. with the reporter. Go and identify yourself for the record.

MR. BEDDEN: Dana Bedden, Principal of School Without Walls. First of all, I'd like to say that I am product of something that came up, the SEC and the Big Ten. I went to and worked in both those Athletic Departments as well intramurals, so I could answer some of your questions about that. I also have a Bachelor of Science in Exercise and Sport Science, and I work in athletics, recreation and intramurals, so some of this is personal to me also, knowledge-base-wise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

But here, more importantly, to represent the interests of the School Without Walls, which I've been at for three and a half years. the Board passed its ruling that restricted use, it devastated our school and the expectations and our conversation with GW which we had hoped would absorb some of our issues. We're dead smack in the middle of campus without a lot of support for intramurals and athletics, and we had been in conversations with the Athletic Department with regards to absorbing some of our physical education needs, basketball, volleyball, cheerleading, those types of things that dramatically have been hindered by this current status.

I have a school of 325 students, and by the very nature of our program we depend on the community. That's the premise of the school, to use the City's resources. And we have currently have a partnership, for example, the YMCA, where our students actually workout alongside of senior citizens, young adults, and most of them speak very highly of the interaction.

I would ask that the Board take into consideration seriously the modification of its current policy, if nothing else to take care of the children who I had to say, "Don't come with me tonight

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

to pack this room to advocate for their desired use of the facility," not to mention as my second stint as an administrator in an urban setting that a lot of our kids don't get exposed to this type of environment, these types of resources.

I represent in our school every district in the City because we're a city-wide magnet school. My kids come as far away as an hour to come to school, and part of the attractive draw is the GW University -- the use of the Gilman Library, the use of the Marvin Center, the Smith Center. With the growth of their department and the student use in the Smith Center, we've kind of loss some of our access to the Smith Center, and until it was a help to ask for and to have the Health and Wellness Center absorb some of that and still be able to help us. With the current situation, we've pretty much spent a great deal of time running around the block, going over to 17th and Rhode Island or Washington Sports Club has tried to pitch in and help out now with the restrictions that we've had.

But this would dramatically help us a great deal, not only with regards to the exposure to students but the type of student that comes to School Without Walls. I'm currently struggling to attract

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

more, for instance, minority males. I know for a fact, our LSRT has studied it, the lack of athletic facilities, the lack of opportunity to participate in athletics has hindered our attraction to males applying to our school where we're sending 95 percent of the kids to college. More of those male -- I need to have a balance of the female/male population to expose them to collect life and the interest in going to school.

So what you do here or don't do here actually has an educational impact on this City and our youth. Most of those students will go away, get a degree or stay at GW and get a degree and stay right here or come back and be taxpaying citizens, residents back here in the community. So you have the ability to also to influence education by what you do or don't do here.

The Superintendent just presented his budget today asking for \$44 million more than what he got this past year. In that he made a dramatic plea that we need more contributions from the community, the corporate private sector, grants, donors to pitch in because he knows that the City is not going to be able to absorb that \$44 million.

The University President has made it quite

NEAL R. GROSS

2.0

	128
1	clear: One school he can help on a regular basis is
2	ours just by virtue of our location. Our students use
3	Gilman, we're half a block away from the Smith Center,
4	one and a half blocks away from the Health and Fitness
5	Center. Our PE Department, our Athletic Department,
6	for practice purposes, not competition because it
7	doesn't have seating for spectators, will be
8	dramatically improved by having access to this
9	facility. And I have 325 letters written to you if
10	want it, every student will write it.
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We'll take your word
12	for it.
13	(Laughter.)
14	MR. BEDDEN: And I will leave it at that.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you Mr. Bedden.
16	Ma'am, you're next. There you go, and just identify

yourself for the record when you begin.

MS. NEDEFF: My name is Roma Nedeff, and I first came to Foggy Bottom in 1953 and have lived on and off since that time, but I worked overseas as Service Officer, Foreign and I've lived so continuously in the apartment I own on 24th and H since '89 to the present. And during that time, and all through those different years that I was coming back and forth from overseas post, never heard a

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 complaint about noise and traffic problem up until today. Never had heard of such -- the only noise --2 3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I guess you haven't 4 been to an ANC meeting. 5 (Laughter.) The only noise really MS. NEDEFF: 6 7 hear, and you can only hear it -- our buildings are so 8 sound-proof the only noise we ever hear is an 9 ambulance siren or a police siren, that's all. 10 much am in favor of opening up the Wellness to the 11 community. It will be healthful for them, improve 12 their well-being, and this little bit of 13 unfriendliness that's existed in the past between the 14 University and Foggy Bottom people maybe it will be resolved. That's all I have to say. Thank you. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Ms. Combrinck? 17 18 MS. COMBRINCK: Good evening, 19 Commissioners. My name is Laetitia Combrinck. 20 reside in ANC-2A-01 on 20th Street. That's the far 21 east end of the Foggy Bottom area, and I also live in 22 a building predominantly occupied by students, but 23 anyway we try to make the best of it. I would like to 24 urge the Commission to give due consideration to the

the

application of

25

George Washington University

regarding the use of the Health and Wellness Center.

It is unfortunate that the differences about other matters the Foggy Bottom neighborhood has with the George Washington University should hinder any change the University would like to make regarding the use and longer hours for the George Washington University Health and Wellness Center.

Before the BZA approved the project in 1998, I believe, the community had participated in many meetings and presentations with GW about it, and originally GW was willing to open the use of the facilities at the Center to non-student neighbors. due to the unreasonable opposition, objections and nastiness of some residents, the offer was withdrawn by the University, unfortunately. that the University is again making this overture to the community, one would have expected a more gracious However, there are neighborhood residents response. that do not support that hardline approach and would welcome a change in the regulations allowing the use of the Center.

If the residents are not permitted membership, nevertheless I would like to make a case for some compromise. At least let the GW alumni, parents and family of students be granted that and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

allow the Center to operate until maybe 12 p.m. instead of 1 p.m. It is unimaginable that a large number of persons would be leaving the Center at that hour nor that there would be any appreciable increase in noise or disruption. The closest residential building is about one and a half blocks away, and to the east it's mostly campus.

Furthermore, the argument that the Center would deprive commercial fitness centers of business is not a strong one, as the closes one to it, the Watergate Gym, is an exclusive club, not necessarily affordable by all residents. The other gym in the immediate vicinity, I believe it's the Bally, is at the corner of 21st and L Streets, about five blocks further north. I do also respect the ANC's position about their legal arguments, but I think, at least on this one, I think the membership should be open and the time of operation lengthened. Six o'clock might be bit early, but I think should we give consideration to it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Any questions from the Commission? Mr. Moore? Ms. Elliott? Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. MAY: Got to move faster. I have a question for Principal Bedden. The facilities at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

School Without Walls right now, are there any athletic facilities or training facilities?

MR. BEDDEN: None whatsoever. We have -the building was probably third or fourth oldest in the whole fleet of schools in the system. It's an old elementary school where you had 125 percent capacity student capacity, with no cafeteria, auditorium and no athletic facilities whatsoever. Our Athletic and $_{
m PE}$ Program is a composite of GW, Washington Sports Club and the YMCA.

I will tell you that since 9-11 and the sniper concerns, I get more and more letters and concerns from parents about our students and traveling about the City, and that's the premise of our program, but, obviously, having a closer venue brings a lot more security and comfort to our parents also knowing that also have what they call a nexus disciplinary action as well as a relationship with the University police-wise and MPD, so they feel a little bit comfortable. I just had a concern today because what just happened over the holidays when I was out of town. Evidently, a student who was out and about selling something and evidently had some harm done to them. But we have none whatsoever.

In my first year here, I had a very

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

positive conversation with the University about the potential that existed to be a little closer partner with us as they have continued, as opportunities have presented itself, to try to help us. Given that it seems to be the help from the City and the school system keeps diminishing, I quite often spend a great deal of time on the phone with the President and they're raising their stakes and trying to contribute and being a community partner. Again, his comment is that, "This is one school, based on proximity, I have the ability to do a lot to help, given the concern with diminishing resources for the school system."

So we're depending more and more on partners, and we've lost some because of financial constraints with 9-11 and their funding has gone down that they've decreased some of their services. I know I sit on one of the boards for the Y, and they've had to cut back on services, so we have nothing facility-wise athletically.

MR. MAY: Okay. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Madam Chair, Mr. Bedden, just to follow up, what have you done since you've been here so you won't have to be so dependent on the University? While I know you all appreciate it, as far as the school system's budget and being

accountable for the things that you don't have in your school, what have you done to make sure that some of those things show up on the School Board's budget so you won't have to be dependent on GW?

MR. BEDDEN: Well, I mean we obviously continue to articulate our case. I mean the school system as a whole has gone through a modernization plan for buildings that we have had an opportunity to chime in, and I actually have to attend a meeting coming up on the redesign of high schools. I'm one of the few principals chosen, so my voice is a part of how we remake a high school as they go through that We've also, to be honest with you, tried to spread out some of our dependency by going to other resources and pulling in new resources. I just had a meeting with the new President at UDC, our only state institution, about working closer with them.

Quite frankly, the bottom line is my boss, the Superintendent, is asking for more help from the community, the private sector. The bottom line is well is very difficult. You know, the Brookings Institute said the federal government should give the District \$300 to \$500 million just for it to meet its needs. We're going everywhere and anywhere to try to get resources is what I'm saying.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. I'm sure the City won't wait on that money. 2 MR. BEDDEN: Yes, definitely. So we're 3 4 going anywhere and everywhere is the answer to it. 5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you all for coming down. And I think we had one more person. 6 7 there somebody else back there who wanted to testify? 8 Did you want to testify in support? Now we have two. 9 Okay, that's fine. Did you want to testify in 10 Okay. If you want to testify in support, support? 11 now's the time to come forward. Take a seat at the 12 table. Let's start down on the left and we'll work 13 our way. 14 MS. KILNER: Thank you. My name is Vivien 15 Kilner. I own property at 2475 Virginia Avenue, which I've been there about the last four 16 is Potomac Plaza. The irony was I lived there several months 17 years. 18 until September rolled around, and I started walking 19 toward the City and there was this dorm giving out 2.0 lemonade, and then I realized I lived on a campus. 21 (Laughter.) 22 I've lived on campuses for 30 years, I've 23 lived on six different campuses. There is always a 24 town gown conflict, and I think we need to separate 25 other conflicts with GW from what is being offered right now. And I very much want to use that Health Center, especially when I retire and do not have one that's very cheap at work. I, in retirement, would not be able to pay the fee at private surrounding health centers. And now that I've heard Principal Bidden about the students, it seems to me that's certainly another group that would benefit very greatly, and I cannot see that it would have any impact that would bother me.

I have an undergrad student living across the hall from me. One night some of his friends made a very unwise decision to march down the hall about 2:30 in the morning, and I think I am the only woman on the hall that has had children. Now, my son makes this kid look quiet, but still he's -- I mean when four or five other students come down and pound on his door and he wasn't there, it created a stir. But as another gentleman said, after a conversation it works out.

And other things that students are doing for the community need to be further known about, such as delivering groceries, such as teaching people how to use computers. There's a lot of student interaction, services, people wanting to do things.

So to not take any more time, I really am

NEAL R. GROSS

for this, and I think as a community those of us, I don't own a car, I will not be searching for a parking space, would very like to use it, and I have a great number of other people who would like to use it too. And I think we appreciate the ANC, but I don't know that they represent all of us.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Ms. Kilner. Ma'am?

MS. JARVIS: Yes. My name is Rosemary Jarvis. I'm the President of the Remmington Condominium Association, which is located directly across the street from the Wellness Center. We went through the period of the construction, and now we're going through the period of having the dorm directly behind us. So I have spoken on both sides of the table, for and against the University, when they've suggested certain proposals.

On this particular issue, I'm speaking for this because as the President I have been approached by certain residents in our building who have requested to find out if there was any way that they would be able to use the facilities. As I said, we live directly across the street from the university so there would be no parking or issues that we would be going through.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	I also believe that if this was allowed,
2	it would allow the University to give back to the
3	community and it would I, again, agree with people
4	at this table, it would allow some mending to go on
5	between residents and the University, that bridge that
6	needs to be shortened. I think this would be a very
7	good way for it to happen, and I also agree that it
8	would be very good because the people in our building
9	are professionals, and this would allow people of that
10	age group to interact with students, and I think,
11	again, it's something that both students and somebody
12	who's out there working would both benefit from that.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Ms.
14	Jarvis.
15	MS. JARVIS: You're welcome.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ma'am?
17	MS. KRIEGEL: Good evening. Forgive my
18	voice. I'm Mildred Kriegel, and I'm former Chairman
19	of the Board of Directors, President of the Board of
20	Directors at Potomac Plaza Apartments where I
21	currently live. I've lived in Foggy Bottom since
22	1974.
23	My name was mentioned before in connection
24	with a group, and I'd like to clarify what that group
25	is. About 14 years ago, the University made the Smith

Center pool available for a program of aquarobics mainly geared toward senior citizens, people who have arthritis, who are recuperating from back surgery, orthopaedic problems, and most of the people using the program, including myself, until recently when I broke my hip, I haven't been able to use it for a couple of months, but the people who were using the program were recommended to use it by their physicians. It has done untold good for the people who have used that program. We have blessed the University over and over for giving us the chance to be there. Now, we pay a slight fee for it. When you think of what other facilities charge, it's really not much.

But when we found out about the Wellness Center, we were more or less of the impression that when the Wellness Center was completed that our group would be moved over there, because the University would be using the pool mainly for athletics, their own athletics, and when the time came to go over there and we saw that there was going to be a restriction put on it, I started a campaign for two years now. I was writing letters to President Trachtenberg, and I've written letters to the Planning Commission, and I might say that Mr. Fondersmith has been very, very, very good to talk to and work with.

2.0

And I just want to say that I hope that this program will continue to allow our people to use that facility -- I mean to move into that facility, because it has done us so much good. And there has never been any more than 20 or 21 people in the class. It meets three days a week, and the University has supplied us with very good instructors. And I urge you to please consider allowing this particular group of people from the neighborhood to continue to use the facilities, because we really need it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Ms. Kriegel. Any questions for this panel? Mr. Moore? Ms. Elliott, any questions? All right. Thank you all for coming down. All right. Last call for people in support. All right. We'll move to folks in opposition. I have a few on my list, and then we'll pick up anyone else who didn't sign up. Barbara Spillinger, Dorothy Miller and Jim Bunnell. Is there anyone else who'd like to testify in opposition? have room for one more at the table. Okay. Mrs. Spillinger, we'll start with you.

MS. SPILLINGER: Madam Chair and members of the Commission, my name is Barbara Spillinger, and I am appearing before you this evening as Vice President of the Foggy Bottom Association, a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

neighborhood association whose membership reaches some 500 plus residents of Foggy Bottom West End.

On November 25, the Association submitted a letter, which I hope you have all received and have had an opportunity to read, which detailed action taken by the Foggy Bottom Association Board to oppose the reopening of the George Washington University Health and Wellness Center agreement.

Our reasons for the action are clear: Αt the time GW made application for this facility, the community voiced its objection to the size of building and its placement on the fringe of the However, the University insisted it needed campus. all of space for its students and faculty. the Additional concerns were expressed over noise, numbers of students, added traffic and parking availability. As a result, a finely crafted compromise was reached limiting the hours of operation and granting access only to students, faculty and staff for the GW Foggy Bottom Campus.

Now, only a year into operation, GW wants to change the ground rules. It has admitted the building is too large and therefore underutilized and proposes opening admission to a plethora of persons, thereby placing this tax-exempt, tax-supported

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

facility into direct competition with taxpaying businesses in the neighborhood. An expanded membership and increased hours of operation would mean more traffic, further deterioration of air quality and more noise on adjacent streets at later hours, all to the discomfort and distress of the community.

We ask that the Zoning Commission hold to its original position and insist that GW honor its original commitment. This application is ill-founded and premature and should be denied. And I thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Let's have Mrs. Miller next. We're doing the ladies first and then we'll do the gentlemen.

MS. MILLER: I find it interesting that of the people who spoke in favor of it tonight, those who live in Foggy Bottom, we've put out approximately posters of 30 for people to come, and this was advertised, and only one of the people that I know of had come to any of the ANC meetings to give us any input. And the strange thing is we were there to get their input, but we didn't get it. And even the new Commissioner I've never seen at an ANC meeting.

And I've been through all of the proceedings before this, and to give you some background, the lot -- they violated the 1985 Campus

Plan when this particular lot was chosen, because it was supposed to be the second spot they chose for a dormitory, but they talked the BZA out of using it for a dormitory because education with mixed use it could also be a sports facility. And the exercise at the center of the campus didn't have a pool, by the way, but they put in a pool in -- that was not in their original order. And the other facilities at the Smith Center, they were supposed to have all of their athletics at the Smith Center in 1985 Campus Plan. That was another violation.

The ANC at the time stated it was too large, and we wanted to know why they wanted something But the interesting thing was they had that large. promised over 165,000 people if they would back them they could us it. The Kennedy Center, their patrons, the Watergate, their patrons, anybody could use it, and we counted approximately 165,000 people they had planned to use it, so of course it had to be big. the parking in Foggy Bottom is already at 110 percent, far more than the place can afford, and the students say they would rather park on the street and get a It's easier and cheaper than parking and ticket. And they've said that to me many times. paying GW. And now they're beginning to put boots on the cars --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

they're going to have a lot of fun. They said they have lots of boots.

Now, the commercial use by the University club, which they put next to Thurston Hall, students complained when they wanted to put an outdoor arrangement for dining and drinking that it was too noisy for the students at Thurston Hall, so they didn't get approval for that. Now, St. Mary's Court, I understand there are only about four persons there that would like to use it, and they would limit their time and use from ten o'clock in the morning till two o'clock in the afternoon. If they didn't use it at those times, they wouldn't be able to. And they're right next to St. Mary's Church, which is a historic church, and they tried desperately to prevent it from going there, but unfortunately they got advantage of and that's a tragedy, because some of the people wanted to do it and some of them didn't, and the priest that was there at the time has left.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You need to summarize because you're just about up.

MS. MILLER: Okay. Oh, by the way, Columbia Plaza has its own now, and Mr. Rizzuto should come down to the room and see it. We have our own exercise center in the conference room, that used to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	be the conference room, and it's free, and it's open.
2	All you have to do is walk in and sign in and you can
3	use it.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Is that your closing
5	remark?
6	MS. MILLER: Not quite.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Then you need to tell
8	me what the last word is.
9	MS. MILLER: The hospital, the hospital.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right.
11	MS. MILLER: He refers to this thing as
12	being like the hospital. Heaven's forbid. The
13	hospital, George Washington rents one part of one
14	floor, and my doctor tells me the place now is full of
15	mold because they tried to make the thing accessible
16	to handicap people and the water went out, went all
17	down the steps and the building is now full of mold.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. That's going
19	to have to be the last word, and then if you have
20	anything else to say, you can put it in writing.
21	MS. MILLER: I will draft my remarks,
22	because I had not planned to speak tonight because I
23	thoroughly endorsed what Foggy Bottom and ANC-2A had
24	submitted.

NEAL R. GROSS

Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:

MS. MILLER: But when I saw what was coming down the pike I had to say something.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

MS. MILLER: Thank you for listening.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Sure. Sir?

MR. BUNNELL: Madam Chair and Commission, my name is Jim Bunnell. I'm the General Manager of the Sports Club/LA. We're located on the corner of 22 and M. I was just brought into this situation actually last Wednesday before I flew back to Boston, but I'm not unfamiliar with this sort of situation when it comes to unfair competition and tax-exempt adult fitness centers.

The Sports Club/LA has been open roughly two years. We have 5,200 members. It had an initial capital investment of just under \$10 million. spent opening costs in excess of \$3 million. paid tax revenues to the District in those two years of about a quarter of a million dollars. Our total payroll tax to the District over those two years is around \$1 million. We employ 300 employees. We've generated over \$25 million in sales in those two Our payroll to date is just under \$10 million. \$1.1 million. benefits are And far Our so financially we have lost in excess of \$6 million since

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

we've been in business. So we're a real for-profit health and fitness facility.

One of my main concerns, and, again, I've been very close to this issue, and as Mr. Brailsford commented earlier in his comments, the International Health, Racket and Sports Association is our Association that governs our industry internationally. There's roughly 3,000 clubs here in the United States that are members of that Association, and the number one issue that those for-profit health and fitness facilities want IHRSA to work on is the fair competition issue. That's non-for-profit businesses getting involved in the adult fitness business.

Now, I applaud and support the University getting involved in supporting schools like the School Without Walls or any sort of senior groups or any sort of kind of philanthropic things that they can do to utilize their facilities and programs. What I oppose is somebody from Patton Boggs that happens to live in Foggy Bottom -- Patton Boggs is a local law firm -- and says, "Okay, Sports Club/LA is \$1,200 a year, GW is \$295 a year." I have Life Fitness treadmills, they have Life Fitness treadmills. I have Hammerstrength, they have Hammerstrength, I pay taxes, they don't. They can charge a lower rate and compete unfairly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	So I think we really, really you know,
2	my closing statement is it needs to be fair, and I
3	would applaud it if they wanted to get into the adult
4	fitness business. I would support it, but let them
5	pay taxes or take away my taxes.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Any
7	questions for these folks? Okay. Thank you all.
8	Anyone else in opposition, last call for opposition.
9	MS. SPILLINGER: Can I ask a question?
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Sure, you can ask a
11	question.
12	MS. SPILLINGER: You had talked earlier
13	about Mr. Barber's list of options that you were going
14	to provide the ANC. Would you also provide that to
15	the Foggy Bottom Association?
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Barber's shaking
17	his head yes, or nodding his head yes. Mr. Moore has
18	learned his lesson, and he will serve you.
19	(Laughter.)
20	And we understand that some of your
21	rebuttal is actually going to be in writing to things
22	like the Office of Planning report.
23	MR. MOORE: Absolutely, absolutely, and a
24	lot more detailed and a lot more coherent, I hope.
25	Madam Chairperson, members of the Commission, this has

been a productive hearing process. We thank you for your time and close attention to our presentation. The University has tried to put a strong case into the record to earn each of your votes to approve the application that is before you.

What we have tried, and I think we have succeeded, in putting substantial and uncontroverted evidence into the record to support the special exception that is before you. The evidence shows that first the BZA erred in its 1998 order in finding that the primary purpose of the University's Health and Wellness Center is to serve exclusively its students. The evidence shows that the University's mission with respect to the Center and all of its facilities is to serve the entire community, not just students but the entire community in which it is located. That. includes its students, faculty, its staff, its Board of Trustees, its alumni, the parents and guests of students and faculty and staff and the people who live in the University's community. That has always been the purpose for which this and every University facility is created. For the BZA and now the Office of Planning to suggest otherwise, respectfully to both of them, it's just wrong.

The reason that we are here tonight is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

making that factual error the determined to arbitrarily restrict the usage of the Center on the basis of class and not number, and clearly it is the number that is the measure of zoning The result has been that the University is impact. unreasonably hampered in its ability to pursue its this facility. mission at There are people, supporters, participants and friends of the University who would like to use the Lerner Health and Wellness Center but cannot because they are not now members of the right class of people. How does the University tell them that, especially those who see the empty spaces at Lerner or who are aware of university fitness facilities offer? Under the special exception that we seek this evening, we ask the Commission to correct that.

In support of this request, the University has presented expert testimony that there is no zoning-related reason that the Health and Wellness Center should not be available to others based on numbers presented and not class. The Office of Planning agrees that the Center is underutilized but suggests that the University could do a better job of attracting more students to be members. I suppose that the University could sponsor a big campaign to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

increase its market share among students, that's a worthy endeavor but beside the point here. The point is that the University has done its homework and has demonstrated through expert evidence in the record that the broadening of the membership base, requested, will not have an adverse impact on the use and enjoyment of the neighboring property, and that is what the special exception relief is about. The issue is certainly not whether the University can do a better job of attracting more students to use the facility. What the University seeks is more latitude to determine who uses the facility, and the zoningrelated facts clearly support that end.

To the extent that policy considerations play a role, how can this or any other university not а facility of this type to its What sense does it make to prohibit the Trustees? University from offering guest privileges parents and guests of students? How often will parents will seek such opportunities? We are mindful and frankly continue to be disappointed that not everyone in the community shares of our view of the merits of this wonderful Health and Fitness Center. has been true in past cases, some few in the community have made clear their personal opposition to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	all University interests, despite ongoing efforts by
2	the University to be respectful and responsive to
3	their issues. On the other hand, there are many in
4	the community who do support and are excited about the
5	opportunity to use this wonderful facility.
6	So in the record are the facts, the
7	reasons and the policy on which the University submits
8	that it's met its burden of proof and that it is
9	entitled to the special exception relief that is
10	requested. Respectfully, we thank you for your time
11	and close attention to our detailed presentation.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Now we
13	have to go through our little schedule. Mr. Bastida?
14	MR. BASTIDA: Yes. Shall we have the list
15	of requests that the Commission has made?
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Please.
17	MR. BASTIDA: Okay.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Could you move your
19	mike a little bit closer to you, because I'm having a
20	little trouble hearing.
21	MR. BASTIDA: Sure. Yes. First is we
22	need an affidavit of maintenance submitted to the
23	record by the Applicant. We need a submission on the
24	ANC and the Applicant's briefing on the two issues
25	regarding procedural matters. Third is the building

	capacity of the building. Third is the level I beg
2	your pardon?
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You're on number
4	four.
5	MR. BASTIDA: Building capacity?
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's number four.
7	MR. BASTIDA: That's number three.
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: It doesn't matter,
9	just keep going.
10	MR. BASTIDA: Okay. Level of service,
11	then the library hours, main library hours.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Did you ask for a
13	submission on level of service?
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No, I didn't. I
15	was I said I was disappointed we didn't do a level
16	of service. No, I didn't ask for it.
17	MR. BASTIDA: You don't want it. Okay
18	MR. MOORE: I don't want you disappointed,
19	Mr. Hood. Do you want a level of service, sir?
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No.
21	MR. BASTIDA: The priorities of the
22	University that it's going to set for users.
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: It's a prioritized
24	list of potential classes of users.
25	MR. BASTIDA: Class of users.

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I know that's what
2	you meant to say.
3	MR. BASTIDA: University response to Ms.
4	McCarthy's comments. The Human Rights Act, that's
5	going to be submitted by the ANC. The rebuttal in
6	writing let me see to what oh, by the Applicant.
7	MR. MOORE: I'm sorry, I didn't get that.
8	MR. BASTIDA: A rebuttal in writing by the
9	Applicant.
10	PARTICIPANT: On the Human Rights Act?
11	MR. BASTIDA: In general, not only the
12	Human Rights Act but in what he just mentioned, that
13	he would like to have the opportunity to submit
14	something in writing. Copies of the Traffic Analysis
15	report and the Office of Corporation Counsel providing
16	memorandum regarding the Human Rights Act and any
17	potential discrimination to categories of individuals
18	that would be allowed to use the facility. And ANC's
19	response to the membership of the University.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes?
21	MR. MOORE: Mr. Bastida, you mentioned the
22	University's response to the traffic analysis. Did
23	you mean another copy of the traffic analysis. Did
24	you mean the noise analysis?
25	MR. BASTIDA: I said copies of the Traffic

	Analysis report because that's not part of the record.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I think they actually
3	are. I think all of the ones that I saw are in the
4	Exhibit G, if I'm not mistaken.
5	MR. MOORE: They are.
6	MR. BASTIDA: If they are, then I will
7	take that out. Do you have anything else on the list?
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I do not.
9	MR. BASTIDA: Okay. Then my suggestion
10	will be that everybody makes a submittal on Thursday,
11	December the 19th by three o'clock, that the
12	University will have I mean, excuse me, the ANC
13	will have until Friday, January the 3rd at three
14	o'clock to make their response to the classes of
15	membership, and this then
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I think we're going
17	to have to give more time, because that's right over
18	the holidays, and they're not going to have time.
19	MR. BASTIDA: Okay. If that's
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Am I right about
21	that? Ms. Elliott, am I right about that? Why don't
22	you come forward, just get on the mike here?
23	MS. ELLIOTT: I also will no longer be on
24	the Advisory Neighborhood Commission, so there will be
25	

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: How interesting.
2	MS. ELLIOTT: there will be a gap.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: When's the
4	MS. ELLIOTT: The 31st of December is the
5	last day.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: No, when would be the
7	January meeting of the ANC?
8	MS. ELLIOTT: I think the third, the third
9	Wednesday of January. I mean we could I could
10	authorize the Vice Chair to submit this.
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I guess the point is
12	we want the Commission to respond.
13	MS. ELLIOTT: Right.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Moore, are you
15	interested in waiting for the new ANC to convene?
16	(Pause.)
17	MR. MOORE: Ms. Mitten
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I want to hear what
19	you're saying.
20	(Laughter.)
21	MR. MOORE: Ms. Mitten, the University is
22	prepared to make its submission by three o'clock on
23	the 12th of December, conditioned upon the ability of
24	the ANC to get a response in before Ms. Elliott leaves
25	her Commission.

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: What do you think
2	about that?
3	MS. ELLIOTT: Well, our only problem is
4	that we have another hearing in front of the BZA on
5	the 17th. We have
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: What's that about?
7	MS. ELLIOTT: It's the appeal before the
8	BZA on the Elliott School
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh.
10	MS. ELLIOTT: on conditions,
11	Certificate of Occupancy. We also have a potential
12	we have a hearing on the 19th in front the HPRB on the
13	Columbia Hospital landmark.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Busy.
15	MS. ELLIOTT: We have a potential new
16	special meeting, we have our regular meeting on the
17	11th of December.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, let me
19	MS. ELLIOTT: I mean I can if you give
20	us a little leeway so that we can do it later in
21	December, if we can respond later in December, then
22	that would be all right.
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I mean what
24	basically Mr. Moore is looking that it not be
25	postponed so that you would make your submission after
J	

1	the January meeting and that just makes it
2	everything will get much more drawn out.
3	MS. ELLIOTT: I could make it before the
4	end of the year, but I'd like to have it later in the
5	month versus sooner.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So what we
7	could do is it's not going to get before the
8	Commission any earlier than I mean if they stick
9	with the date of the 3rd for the ANC, than January
10	3rd, but they'll just have their stuff in quicker.
11	The University will have their stuff in quicker, so
12	you'll have a little bit of extra time. You following
13	me? The University will make their submissions
14	MS. ELLIOTT: Right.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: and that will be
16	by the 12th of December, and then you would have that
17	additional time to craft a response by January 3rd.
18	Would that work for you?
19	MS. ELLIOTT: Okay. Well, I could submit
20	it before the 31st.
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes. Whatever
22	MS. ELLIOTT: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: It wouldn't be due
24	till to the 3rd, and then whenever you get it in. You
25	don't have to wait till the 3rd.

1	MS. ELLIOTT: Okay.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay?
3	MS. ELLIOTT: All right.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You look pooped.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MS. ELLIOTT: Thank you.
7	MR. BASTIDA: The response of the ANC is
8	only limited to the class of memberships. The ANC is
9	not commenting on any other submission.
10	MS. ELLIOTT: Well, I thought parties were
11	allowed to comment on any of the submissions of the
12	Applicant that would come in.
13	MR. BASTIDA: Well, if you want, then we
14	can do it that way.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, isn't that what
16	we typically do?
17	MS. ELLIOTT: Madam Chair, may I
18	interrupt? At the beginning of this, I believe you
19	did give us purview since we didn't have an extended
20	period of time.
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, in addition to
22	that, but I think it's typical that we allow the
23	parties. So feel free to comment on any of the
24	additional submissions.
25	MS. ELLIOTT: Okay.

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right?
2	MR. BASTIDA: That means that I will have
3	to allow the Applicant to make comments on the
4	submissions of the ANC.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I'm sure they'll do
6	that promptly, right?
7	MR. MOORE: Absolutely.
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: So what would that
9	date be?
10	MR. BASTIDA: Well, all submissions are
11	due on 12 noon on Thursday, December the 12th, and any
12	responses are due 12 noon on Friday, January the 3rd.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I thought we were
14	working with 3 p.m. now.
15	MR. BASTIDA: Yes, 3 p.m. I mean, I'm
16	sorry, I said 12 noon, no, 3 p.m. Thank you for that
17	correction.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
19	MR. BASTIDA: And that means that we can
20	put these on the January agenda, which would be
21	Monday, January 13.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Wait a second. Mr.
23	Moore is supposed to get an opportunity to comment on
24	what the ANC submits, isn't that what you just said?
25	MR. BASTIDA: Right, but

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: So when does he get
2	to do that?
3	MR. BASTIDA: Well, he has three days
4	until the 3rd, otherwise I cannot put it on the
5	January agenda; I will have to put it on the February
6	agenda. Mrs. Elliott says that she will submit it by
7	the 30th or 31st.
8	MR. MOORE: Ms. Mitten gave her till the
9	3rd of January.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. You want till
11	the 31st, right? We'll work with the 31st.
12	MR. MOORE: I can work with that.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. And what's Mr.
14	Moore's date?
15	MR. BASTIDA: January the 3rd.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh, he's got the 3rd
17	now. Okay.
18	MR. MOORE: What's the 31st, what day of
19	the week?
20	MR. BASTIDA: The 31st is Tuesday.
21	MR. MOORE: I can work with that, Ms.
22	Mitten.
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Okay. I think
24	that's it. Any questions?
25	MS. ELLIOTT: Yes. What do we have due on
	II

1	the 12th? You want the Human Rights Act papers by
2	then?
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes. And
4	MS. ELLIOTT: And I didn't understand, Mr.
5	Bastida was saying, number two, something about ANC's
6	briefing.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: The two issues that
8	you had raised as preliminary matters.
9	MS. ELLIOTT: Oh, okay.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I think we need to
11	get those in on the 12th so that Mr. Moore can respond
12	to those to any further extent that he wants to after
13	you flesh those out.
14	MS. ELLIOTT: Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: So those would be the
16	only things that you have due on the 12th, I believe.
17	Mrs. Miller, what's your question?
18	MS. ELLIOTT: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Turn on your
20	microphone there for me.
21	MS. MILLER: I didn't have a chance to
22	finish my statement, and what I'd like to do is put it
23	in writing and submit it.
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's fine. That's
25	fine.

1	MS. MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
3	MR. BASTIDA: Can you submit it by
4	December the 12th?
5	MS. MILLER: I will make every effort if
6	I'm not down here all of those days in between.
7	MR. BASTIDA: Okay. Thank you.
8	MS. ELLIOTT: One other question.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Sure.
10	MS. ELLIOTT: Could I get a list from Mr.
11	Bastida, not tonight but soon, on all this material?
12	MR. BASTIDA: Sure.
13	MS. ELLIOTT: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Great. Okay.
15	Anybody have any questions?
16	MR. MOORE: Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I'd like to
18	thank you all for your participation this evening and
19	your patience as we slog through our little schedule
20	there. And as Mr. Bastida said, we have a schedule of
21	submissions planned, and then we would anticipate as
22	of tonight that this would be on the agenda for
23	decisionmaking for our January meeting, which is

you're welcome to attend that meeting, and if you have

1	any questions about the status of the case, you can
2	contact Mr. Bastida. I now declare this public
3	hearing adjourned.
4	(Whereupon, at 9:42 p.m., the Zoning
5	Commission Public Hearing was concluded.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	